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IMPORTANCE
The public health implications of e-cigarettes depend, in part, on whether e-cigarette use affects the risk of cigarette smoking.

OBJECTIVE
To perform a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies that assessed initial use of e-cigarettes and subsequent cigarette smoking.

DATA SOURCES
PubMed, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, the 2016 Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco 22nd Annual Meeting abstracts, the 2016 Society of Behavioral Medicine 37th Annual Meeting & Scientific Sessions abstracts, and the 2016 National Institutes of Health Tobacco Regulatory Science Program Conference were searched between February 7 and February 17, 2017. The search included indexed terms and text words to capture concepts associated with e-cigarettes and traditional cigarettes in articles published from database inception to the date of the search.

STUDY SELECTION
Longitudinal studies reporting odds ratios for cigarette smoking initiation associated with ever use of e-cigarettes or past 30-day cigarette smoking associated with past 30-day e-cigarette use. Searches yielded 6959 unique studies, of which 9 met inclusion criteria (comprising 17 389 adolescents and young adults).

DATA EXTRACTION AND SYNTHESIS
Study quality and risk of bias were assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale and the Risk of Bias in Non-randomized Studies of Interventions tool, respectively. Data and estimates were pooled using random-effects meta-analysis.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES
Among baseline never cigarette smokers, cigarette smoking initiation between baseline and follow-up. Among baseline non–past 30-day cigarette smokers who were past 30-day e-cigarette users, past 30-day cigarette smoking at follow-up.

RESULTS
Among 17 389 adolescents and young adults, the ages ranged between 14 and 30 years at baseline, and 56.0% were female. The pooled probabilities of cigarette smoking initiation were 23.2% for baseline ever e-cigarette users and 7.2% for baseline never e-cigarette users. The pooled probabilities of past 30-day cigarette smoking at follow-up were 21.5% for baseline past 30-day e-cigarette users and 4.6% for baseline non–past 30-day e-cigarette users. Adjusting for known demographic, psychosocial, and behavioral risk factors for cigarette smoking, the pooled odds ratio for subsequent cigarette smoking initiation was 3.50 (95% CI, 2.38-5.16) for ever vs never e-cigarette users, and the pooled odds ratio for past 30-day cigarette smoking at follow-up was 4.28 (95% CI, 2.52-7.27) for past 30-day e-cigarette vs non–past 30-day e-cigarette users at baseline. A moderate level of heterogeneity was observed among studies ($I^2 = 56\%$).

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE
E-cigarette use was associated with greater risk for subsequent cigarette smoking initiation and past 30-day cigarette smoking. Strong e-cigarette regulation could potentially curb use among youth and possibly limit the future population-level burden of cigarette smoking.
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The prevalence of e-cigarette use has risen rapidly since introduction of this product to the United States in 2007. Among US high school students, the prevalence of past 30-day use of e-cigarettes increased 10-fold from 1.5% in 2011 to 16.0% in 2015, when the prevalence of past 30-day e-cigarette use in this population exceeded its prevalence of past 30-day cigarette smoking (9.3%). E-cigarette use occurs at an appreciable prevalence among both cigarette-smoking and never cigarette-smoking youth. Furthermore, longitudinal studies have reported that e-cigarette use is associated with an increased risk of cigarette smoking initiation among never cigarette-smoking adolescents and young adults even after adjusting for known demographic, psychosocial, and behavioral risk factors. Recently, the US Surgeon General noted this increased risk as an important public health concern.

Although some studies suggest that the use of e-cigarettes may help adults quit smoking, e-cigarettes may confer a public health harm if their use leads to a substantially greater number of youth who initiate cigarette smoking compared with the number of youth who would have initiated cigarette smoking in the absence of e-cigarettes or greater number of youth who currently smoke compared with the number of youth who would have currently smoked in the absence of e-cigarettes. It is important to obtain generalizable estimates of these 2 risks to establish the potential public health influence of e-cigarette use among adolescents and young adults. Therefore, we conducted the first systematic review and meta-analysis to date of longitudinal studies to obtain generalizable estimates of risk for cigarette smoking associated with e-cigarette use across a wide range of populations, study settings, and confounding demographic, psychosocial, and behavioral influences. We followed the Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) guidelines for our systematic review.

Methods

Data Sources and Searches
We completed a comprehensive literature search of MEDLINE's PubMed (1946 to present), EMBASE (1974 to present), Wiley's Cochrane Library (2016 issue 7), and Web of Science (1900 to present) between February 7 and February 17, 2017. The search included indexed terms and text words to capture concepts associated with e-cigarettes and traditional cigarettes in articles published from database inception to the date of the search (see eTables 1-4, eFigure 1, and eFigure 2 in the Supplement for full search strategies). There were no language or study design restrictions. The search strategy was adjusted for the syntax appropriate for each database. We also completed a comprehensive search of the 2016 Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco 22nd Annual Meeting abstracts, the 2016 Society of Behavioral Medicine 37th Annual Meeting & Scientific Sessions abstracts, and the 2016 National Institutes of Health Tobacco Regulatory Science Program Conference. We searched abstracts from these annual meetings and the conference separately because they are not included in any of the electronic databases.

The included studies were approved by the following institutional review boards: University of Southern California, Dartmouth College, University of Hawaii, Hawaii State Department of Education, University of Pittsburgh, University of Pennsylvania, Virginia Commonwealth University, and University of Michigan. For all included studies, participants 18 years or older provided written informed consent, and participants 17 years or younger provided written assent and parental informed consent.

Data Extraction
Data extracted from each study included the following: study location, comparison group (eg, never e-cigarette users), time between baseline and follow-up, and a list of demographic, psychosocial, and behavioral characteristics included in each study's multivariable statistical analysis. Demographic characteristics included age, sex, race/ethnicity, and parental educational level. Psychosocial and behavioral characteristics included levels of self-esteem, sensation seeking, rebelliousness, delinquent behavior, depressive symptoms, impulsivity, smoking susceptibility, peer smoking, parental smoking, and use of other substances (alcohol, illicit drugs, and other tobacco products). eTable 5 in the Supplement lists details of the psychosocial and behavioral characteristics. We evaluated the quality of the included studies using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS), which assesses the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses and considers selection of the study groups, comparability across groups, and ascertainment of the outcome of interest. We assessed the risk of bias using the Risk of Bias in Non-randomized Studies of Interventions...
The ROBINS-I tool, which considers biases from confounding, selection of participants into the studies, missing data, and measurement of outcomes. Two investigators (S.S. and J.L.B.T.) evaluated each study against rubrics provided by the NOS and the ROBINS-I tool. If investigators’ scores differed on a specific domain of either the NOS or the ROBINS-I tool, they discussed to reach consensus based on the rubrics.

Statistical Analysis
We calculated the observed probability of cigarette smoking initiation among baseline never cigarette smokers by their baseline e-cigarette use. We then calculated the corresponding unadjusted odds ratio using data across all included studies. Next, we estimated the pooled odds ratio for cigarette smoking initiation among baseline ever e-cigarette users compared with never e-cigarette users by fitting a random-effects meta-analysis model. The meta-analysis model included as data the multivariable regression results of each study that adjusted for known demographic, psychosocial, and behavioral risk factors for cigarette smoking.

Similarly, we calculated the observed probability of past 30-day (“current”) cigarette smoking at follow-up among baseline noncurrent cigarette smokers by their baseline use of e-cigarettes in the past 30 days. The meta-analysis model also included as data the multivariable regression results of each study that adjusted for known risk factors for cigarette smoking.

For both analyses, we assessed statistical heterogeneity using the $I^2$ statistic, which measures the percentage of total variation due to heterogeneity among studies rather than by chance. For the cigarette smoking initiation analysis, we then assessed the source of heterogeneity between studies by conducting subgroup analysis. We consider the following subgroups: adolescent vs young adult studies (based on the mean age of respondents at baseline), baseline year of study (before 2014 vs 2014 or later), and regional vs national sample. We selected 2014 as the cutpoint for the subgroup because youths’ past 30-day e-cigarette use after 2013 grew substantially.

We conducted a sensitivity analysis to assess the influence of selection bias (eg, publication bias) on the pooled adjusted odds ratio estimated by fitting a Copas selection model. Briefly, the Copas selection model simultaneously models the outcome and selection in which the chance of observation (or publication) of a study is inversely proportional to the standard error of its outcome. We used a computer program (R, version 3.2.3; The Comprehensive R Archive Network) for all statistical analyses.

Results
Of 6959 unique studies identified, 9 studies met all inclusion criteria (comprising 16,621 adolescents and young adults)
adults) and were included in the systematic review and meta-
analysis (Figure 1). Seven studies5-10,22 examined cigarette
smoking initiation between baseline and follow-up and in-
cluded a total of 8168 participants who were never cigarette
smokers at baseline (of whom 1174 were ever e-cigarette users
at baseline). Two studies5,23,24 examined past 30-day ciga-
rette smoking and included a total of 2084 participants who
were not past 30-day cigarette smokers at baseline (of whom
119 were past 30-day e-cigarette users at baseline). Baseline
and follow-up data were collected between 2012 and 2016 for these
studies (Table 1). The age of participants across studies ranged
between 14 and 30 years old at baseline, and 56.0% were female.
The setting of 5 studies5,7-9,23 was regional (3 in the Los
Angeles, California, area; 1 in Oahu, Hawaii; and 1 in Rich-
mond, Virginia), while the remaining 4 studies6,10,22,24 were
US national-based samples recruited through random-digit dial
mode, Virginia), while the remaining 4 studies6,10,22,24 were
US national-based samples recruited through random-digit dial
monument in the Los
Angeles, California, area; 1 in Oahu, Hawaii; and 1 in Rich-
mond, Virginia), while the remaining 4 studies6,10,22,24 were
US national-based samples recruited through random-digit dial
and national representative school-based samples (1 study). All
studies adjusted for demographic, psychosocial, and behav-
ioral risk factors that could be correlated with e-cigarette use
and cigarette smoking. Three studies5,9,23 received a score of
6 of 9 on the NOS, and the remaining 6 studies6,8,10,22,24 received
a score of 5 of 9 on the NOS (score range, 0-9; eTable 6 in the
Supplement). In addition, the overall risk of bias was
moderate for all studies based on the ROBINS-1 tool (eTable 7 in
the Supplement).

Among baseline never cigarette smokers, the unadjusted
effects ratio for cigarette smoking initiation ranged between 2.65
and 6.23 across studies for those who had ever tried e-cigarettes
compared with those who had never tried e-cigarettes (Figure 2).
Pooling across the 7 studies5-10,22 that examined initiation, the probabilities of cigarette smoking initiation were 23.2% for base-
line ever e-cigarette users and 7.2% for baseline never e-cigarette
users, for an unadjusted odds ratio of 3.83 (95% CI, 3.74-3.91).
Combining the data and multivariable regression results from the
7 studies in a random-effects meta-analysis, the pooled adjusted
odds ratio for subsequent cigarette smoking initiation was 3.50
(95% CI, 2.38-5.16) for baseline ever e-cigarette users compared
with baseline never e-cigarette users.

Among baseline noncurrent cigarette smokers, the unad-
justed odds ratio for current cigarette smoking at follow-up
ranged between 4.71 and 11.18 across studies for those who had
used e-cigarettes in the past 30 days at baseline compared with
those who had not used e-cigarettes in the past 30 days at base-
line (Figure 3). Pooling across both studies20,24 that examined cur-
rent cigarette smoking, the probabilities of current cigarette
smoking at follow-up were 21.5% for baseline past 30-day
e-cigarette users and 4.6% for baseline non-past 30-day
e-cigarette users, for an unadjusted odds ratio of 5.68 (95% CI,
3.49-9.24). Combining the data and multivariable regression re-
sults from the 2 studies in a random-effects meta-analysis, the pooled adjusted odds ratio for past 30-day cigarette smoking at
follow-up was 4.28 (95% CI, 2.52-7.27) for baseline past 30-day
e-cigarette users compared with baseline non-past 30-day
e-cigarette users.

We observed evidence of moderate heterogeneity (Coch-
ran Q) in the 7 studies5-10,22 of cigarette smoking initiation
(Qₐ = 13.79, P = .03, I² = 56%). Adolescent-based studies5,7,8 (ie,
the mean age of respondents at baseline <18 years) exhibited
greater heterogeneity than young adult-based studies6,9,10,22 (ie,
the mean age of respondents at baseline ≥18 years) (Table 2). Af-
ter excluding the 3 adolescent-based studies, the pooled ad-
justed odds ratio for cigarette smoking initiation was 4.11 (95%
CI, 2.63-6.41), and this exclusion diminished the heterogeneity
among the studies, which was no longer statistically signifi-
cant (P = .67). Similarly, after excluding the 4 studies5-7,22 con-
ducted before 2014, the pooled adjusted odds ratio of cigarette
smoking initiation was 4.48 (95% CI, 3.06-6.57), and this exclu-
sion diminished the heterogeneity among the studies, which was
no longer statistically significant (P = .37). Finally, after exclud-
ing the 4 regional-based studies,5,7-9 the pooled adjusted odds
ratio of cigarette smoking initiation was 5.64 (95% CI, 2.76-
11.54), and this exclusion diminished the heterogeneity among
the studies, which was no longer statistically significant (P = .84).

We conducted a sensitivity analysis to assess the influ-
ence of selection bias on the pooled adjusted odds ratio for ciga-
rette smoking initiation by fitting a Copas selection model (eAp-
pendix, eFigure 3, eTable 8, and eTable 9 in the Supplement).
Adjusting for selection bias, the Copas selection model esti-
mated that the pooled adjusted odds ratio for cigarette smok-
ing initiation was 3.16 (95% CI, 2.14-4.66) compared with the
random-effects model estimate of 3.50 (95% CI, 2.38-5.16).

Discussion

In this systematic review and meta-analysis, results from 9 lon-
gitudinal studies were consistent in finding that e-cigarette use
is associated with an increased risk of future cigarette smok-
ing initiation and current cigarette smoking even after adjust-
ment for potentially confounding demographic, psychosocial,
and behavioral risk factors. The source of data from each study
appears in eTable 10 in the Supplement. Our results suggest
that e-cigarette use is a strong risk factor for cigarette smok-
ing among adolescents and young adults because the magni-
tude of the pooled odds ratios approximately equaled or ex-
ceeded that of other known risk factors, including parental, sibling,
and peer cigarette smoking and high levels of sensa-
tion seeking and risk taking.25,26 Our results indicate that
e-cigarette use is an independent risk factor for cigarette smok-
ing because we included studies that adjusted for numerous
known risk factors for cigarette smoking in our analysis.

e-Cigarette use may represent a risk factor for cigarette
smoking initiation and current cigarette smoking for several
behavioral and physiological reasons. First, e-cigarette use
mimics the behavioral scripts of cigarette smoking. The use of
e-cigarettes involves hand-to-mouth movements, puffing
(which brings the e-cigarette aerosol into the mouth), inhala-
tion of the mixture into the lungs, and exhalation.6 For
example, the same exhalation techniques used to produce smoke
rings with traditional cigarettes can be used to make rings of
aerosol with e-cigarettes.27 Therefore, adolescents and young
adults, even those who primarily use e-cigarettes without nico-
tine, may acquire and learn cigarette smoking-related behav-
ioral scripts through the use of e-cigarettes that ultimately make
the transition to cigarette smoking more natural.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Study Design and Population</th>
<th>Method of Survey</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Age of Sample, Mean(Range), y</th>
<th>Study Period</th>
<th>Loss to Follow-up, %</th>
<th>Baseline Sample</th>
<th>Exposure/Outcome Covariates</th>
<th>ROBINS-I/NOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Leventhal et al, 2015          | Longitudinal repeated assessment of school-based cohort of 9th graders recruited from high schools in Los Angeles, California | Paper-based questionnaire             | *To evaluate whether e-cigarette use among 14-year-old adolescents who have never tried combustible tobacco is associated with risk of initiating use of 3 combustible tobacco products (ie, cigarettes, cigars, and hookah).*<sup>s</sup>  
<sup>p</sup>(1000) | 14.1 (14-14) | 2013-2014 | 12 | 1.1 | Never cigarette smokers (n = 2558) | Ever use of e-cigarettes/ever cigarette smoking | Demographic: age, sex, race/ethnicity, parental educational level  
Psychosocial: depressive symptoms, impulsivity  
Behavioral: delinquent behavior, substance use  
Other: lives with biological parents, family history of smoking, peer smoking, smoking susceptibility, smoking expectancies | Moderate/6 |
| Primack et al, 2015             | Longitudinal repeated assessment of a national study of adolescents and young adults (from the Dartmouth Media, Advertising, and Health Study) recruited via random-digit dialing using landline (66.7%) and cellular telephone numbers (33.3%) | Internet-based visual survey          | *To determine whether baseline use of e-cigarettes among non-smoking and non-susceptible adolescents and young adults is associated with subsequent progression along an established trajectory to traditional cigarette smoking.*<sup>1</sup>  
<sup>2</sup> | 20.0 (16-26) | 2012-2013 to 2013-2014 | 12 | 30.4 | Non-susceptible never cigarette smokers (n = 694)* | Ever use of e-cigarettes/ever cigarette smoking | Demographic: age, sex, race/ethnicity, maternal educational level  
Psychosocial: sensation seeking  
Other: parental smoking, peer smoking | Moderate/5 |
| Wills et al, 2016               | Longitudinal repeated assessment of 9th, 10th, and 11th graders from high schools on the island of Oahu, Hawaii (4 public, 2 private) | Paper-based questionnaire             | *We examined, longitudinally, how e-cigarette use among adolescents is related to subsequent smoking behaviour.*<sup>7</sup>  
<sup>8</sup>  
<sup>9</sup> | 14.7 (14-16) | 2013-2014 | 12 | 44.3 | Never cigarette smokers (n = 1141) | Ever use of e-cigarettes/ever cigarette smoking | Demographic: age, sex, race/ethnicity, family structure, parental educational level  
Psychosocial: parental support, parental monitoring, rebelliousness | Moderate/5 |
| Barrington-Trimis et al, 2016   | Longitudinal repeated assessment of 11th and 12th graders enrolled in the Southern California Children’s Health Study | Paper-based questionnaire at baseline Internet-based questionnaire at follow-up | To examine whether e-cigarette use increases the risk of cigarette initiation among adolescents in the transition to adulthood when the sale of cigarettes becomes legal.*<sup>10</sup>  
<sup>11</sup> | 17.4 (16-18) | 2014 to 2015-2016 | 16 | 28.9 | Never cigarette smokers (n = 298) | Ever use of e-cigarettes/ever cigarette smoking | Demographic: grade level, sex, race/ethnicity, parental educational level  
Other: cigarette use in the home, peer smoking, peer acceptability of smoking | Moderate/5 |
| Primack et al, 2016             | Longitudinal repeated assessment of participants recruited from a nationally representative probability-based online nonvolunteer access panel (KnowledgePanel) recruited and maintained by Growth from Knowledge | Internet-based survey                | *To determine the association between baseline e-cigarette use and subsequent initiation of cigarette smoking among young adults who initially never smoked cigarettes.*<sup>12</sup>  
<sup>13</sup> | 23.5 (18-30) | 2013-2014 | 18 | 39.2 | Never cigarette smokers (n = 1506) | Ever use of e-cigarettes/ever cigarette smoking | Demographic: age, sex, race/ethnicity, educational level, household income  
Psychosocial: self-esteem, sensation seeking, rebelliousness  
Other: relationship status, residing with parents/guardian or a significant other | Moderate/5 |

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Study Design and Population</th>
<th>Method of Survey</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Age of Sample, Mean (Range), y</th>
<th>Study Period</th>
<th>Follow-up Period, mo</th>
<th>Loss to Follow-up, %</th>
<th>Baseline Sample</th>
<th>Exposure/Outcome</th>
<th>Covariates</th>
<th>ROBINS-I/NOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unger et al., 23 2016</td>
<td>Longitudinal repeated assessment of Hispanic participants in Project RED who attended 1 of 7 high schools in the Los Angeles, California, area in 2005</td>
<td>Internet-based survey</td>
<td>To determine whether e-cigarette use is associated with subsequent cigarette or marijuana use over a one-year period.</td>
<td>22.7 (22-24)</td>
<td>2014-2015</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>Non-past 30-d cigarette smokers (n = 1056)</td>
<td>Past 30-d e-cigarette use/past 30-d cigarette smoking</td>
<td>Demographic: age, sex, Other: past-month use of alcohol, past-month use of other tobacco products</td>
<td>Moderate/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornik et al., 24 2016</td>
<td>Longitudinal repeated assessment of a nationally representative sample of adolescents and young adults recruited via an ongoing, rolling cross-sectional survey based on list-assisted and random-digit dialing using landline (19%) and cellular telephone numbers (81%)</td>
<td>Internet-based survey</td>
<td>To determine whether e-cigarette use predicts cigarette use reported 6 months later, in a nationally representative US sample of youth and young adults.</td>
<td>18.3 (13-25)</td>
<td>2014-2015</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>Non-past 30-d cigarette smokers (n = 1028)</td>
<td>Past 30-d e-cigarette use/past 30-d cigarette smoking</td>
<td>Demographic: age, sex, race/ethnicity, parental educational level, Psychosocial: sensation seeking, grades</td>
<td>Moderate/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spindle et al., 9 2017</td>
<td>Longitudinal repeated assessment of a subset of the Spit for Science project, a university-wide longitudinal study aimed at assessing genetic and environmental influences on substance use and emotional health in college students</td>
<td>Internet-based survey</td>
<td>To examine extent that e-cigarette use is associated with the onset of cigarette smoking and the factors that lead to the uptake of e-cigarettes in college students.</td>
<td>18.5 (18-25)</td>
<td>2014-2015</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>Never cigarette smokers (n = 2316)</td>
<td>Ever use of e-cigarettes/ever cigarette smoking</td>
<td>Demographic: age, sex, race/ethnicity, Psychosocial: depression, anxiety, impulsivity, Social influences</td>
<td>Moderate/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miech et al., 10 2017</td>
<td>Longitudinal repeated assessment of 12th graders sampled in the nationally representative Monitoring the Future Study (2014) in 122 schools (105 public, 17 private)</td>
<td>Initial evaluation questionnaire completed in the classroom Follow-up questionnaire completed online</td>
<td>To prospectively examine vaping as a predictor of future cigarette smoking among youth with and without previous cigarette smoking experience.</td>
<td>18.0 (17-20)</td>
<td>2014-2015</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>Never cigarette smokers (n = 246)</td>
<td>Past 30-d e-cigarette use/ever cigarette smoking</td>
<td>Demographic: female, race (white, non-white), Other: binge drinking in the past 2 wk, marijuana use in the past 30 d</td>
<td>Moderate/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NOS, Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (score range, 0-9); ROBINS-I, Risk of Bias in Non-randomized Studies of Interventions.

a Nonsusceptible respondents answered “definitely not” to both of the following survey questions: (1) “If one of your friends offered you a cigarette, would you try it?” and (2) “Do you think you will smoke a cigarette sometime in the next year?”

b Project RED (Reteniendo y Entendiendo Diversidad para Salud [Retaining and Understanding Diversity for Health]) is a school-based longitudinal study of cultural factors and substance abuse in the Los Angeles, California, area.

c Other tobacco products included hookah, cigars, little cigars, and smokeless tobacco.
Second, adolescents and young adults who use nicotine-containing e-cigarettes may become addicted to nicotine because e-cigarette aerosol contains highly oxidizing free-base nicotine—the most addictive form of nicotine—that is easily absorbed by the body.28 As a result, e-cigarette users may be more inclined to experiment with and transition to combustible cigarettes and other forms of inhalable nicotine to more effectively satiate their nicotine cravings. Even youth who report using nicotine-free (ie, flavor only) e-cigarettes may still inhale aerosolized nicotine; laboratory-based studies29-31 using
gas chromatography and mass spectrometry revealed substantial discrepancies between reported and actual nicotine content. In addition, inhaling pleasurable flavors may provide a positive sensory experience similar to smokers’ reports about inhaling cigarette smoke.

Third, e-cigarette use may activate cognitive or behavioral processes that increase the risk of smoking. For example, e-cigarette users show increases in positive expectancies about cigarette smoking and increases in affiliation with peers who smoke cigarettes. These cognitive and behavioral effects may operate independent of other processes to increase the risk of smoking among adolescents who try e-cigarettes.

Whether e-cigarettes represent a public health harm or benefit depends, in part, on the number of adolescents and young adults who initiate cigarette smoking after the use of e-cigarettes and if these individuals would likely have begun cigarette smoking in the absence of e-cigarettes. Although some models suggest that e-cigarette use is merely a marker for high-risk adolescents who would have smoked cigarettes anyway, empirical evidence indicates that e-cigarette use differentially occurs among youth who are not at high risk for cigarette smoking based on established risk factors. For example, Wills et al found that the effect of e-cigarette use on cigarette smoking initiation was stronger among study participants who were at lower risk at baseline on 3 indexes for smoking compared with those who were at higher risk. Barrington-Trimis et al similarly found that the effect of e-cigarette use on cigarette smoking initiation was stronger for youth who were not susceptible to cigarette smoking compared with youth who were susceptible to cigarette smoking. Therefore, e-cigarette use does not appear to be just a marker for high-risk youth; rather, e-cigarette use is a true risk factor for cigarette smoking initiation. If, indeed, e-cigarette use increases the likelihood of subsequent cigarette smoking initiation among otherwise low-risk adolescents, then the use of e-cigarettes could slow or reverse the decline in adolescent cigarette smoking that has occurred since 1996.

Strengths and Limitations
We note several strengths of this research for addressing the possibility that e-cigarette use is a risk factor for cigarette smoking. First, all of the included studies were longitudinal; hence, e-cigarette use temporally preceded cigarette smoking initiation and past 30-day cigarette smoking. Second, pooling across studies, all of which adjusted for numerous covariates, we found substantial effect sizes of e-cigarette use on cigarette smoking initiation and current cigarette smoking. Third, the studies occurred over a short period (2012-2016); therefore, the level of external risk factors (eg, price of cigarettes) remained constant. Fourth, we found consistent evidence across multiple studies that e-cigarette use increased the risk of cigarette smoking initiation. Perhaps more concerning from a public health perspective, we also found evidence that e-cigarette use increased the risk of subsequent past 30-day cigarette smoking, which includes regular daily cigarette smoking. Therefore, several aspects of the association between e-cigarette use and cigarette smoking suggest a causal correlation, namely, its association, consistency, specificity, temporality, and biological and behavioral plausibility.

We also note several limitations. First, the overall risk of bias was moderate for all studies because, in part, the risk of bias due to confounding was moderate. All studies accounted for varying demographic, psychosocial, and behavioral risk factors, although the potential exists for omitted variable bias. The overall quality of 6 studies was reduced because of loss to follow-up that each exceeded 20%. The association between e-cigarette use and cigarette smoking may be biased if respondents lost to follow-up were more or less likely to smoke cigarettes at follow-up than respondents not lost to follow-up. Of the 6 studies, 4 studies with high loss to follow-up compared complete case and full information analysis, assessed whether the association between e-cigarette use and cigarette smoking differed by characteristics associated with attrition (eg, highest parental educational level), and either stratified analysis based on characteristics associated with attrition or reweighted the sample based on attrition. The substantive conclusions remained the same. Second, we do not know the type of e-cigarette used by respondents or the proportion of respondents who used nicotine-containing e-cigarettes. Later-generation e-cigarettes (eg, “mods”) deliver higher blood nicotine levels than first-generation e-cigarettes (eg, “cig-a-likes”). Third, although we conducted an international literature search, all included studies were US based; therefore, our results may not apply to youth in other countries. Fourth, 2 studies sampled students from Los Angeles-area high schools, although there was no overlap in the cohorts.

Finally, the studies ascertained mainly early phases of the adolescent smoking process. No study followed up youth long enough to determine the proportion of onset cases who became regular or nicotine-dependent cigarette smokers during the follow-up period. However, it should be noted that studies of smoking transitions have consistently found that early symptoms of nicotine dependence (eg, craving a cigarette) can emerge only a short time after onset, sometimes after an adolescent has smoked only a few cigarettes, and that these early symptoms are strong predictors of subsequent transition to full nicotine dependence. Therefore, from a public health standpoint, there does not seem to be a clear lower threshold for concern with respect to frequency or quantity smoked. For example, DiFranza et al noted that based on their data “First inhalation [of a cigarette] is the most important tobacco use milestone.”

Conclusions
Our systematic review and meta-analysis of 9 longitudinal studies found consistent and strong evidence that e-cigarette use is associated with increased odds of subsequent cigarette smoking initiation and current cigarette smoking among adolescents and young adults after adjusting for known demographic, psychosocial, and behavioral risk factors. To minimize the potential public health harm from e-cigarette use, the US Food and Drug Administration, as well as state and local...
agencies, will need to engage in regulatory actions to discourage youth use of e-cigarettes and prevent the transition from e-cigarettes to other combustible tobacco products. In addition to the currently enacted age limitations on in-store sales, regulatory actions could include restrictions on advertising campaigns that may be viewed by adolescents, limits to characterizing flavors (e.g., fruit- and candy-flavored e-cigarettes), strict standards for reporting actual nicotine content in e-liquid, and requirements for age verification for online and retail sales of these products. Such strong regulation of e-cigarettes could curb use among youth and limit the future population-level burden of tobacco.
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