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Objective: To evaluate potential abnormalities in brain structure of children and adolescents with unilateral clefts.

Design: Case-control study.

Setting: Tertiary care center.

Participants: Boys aged 7 to 17 years with right (n=14) and left (n=19) clefts were compared with healthy age-matched boys (n=57).

Main Exposures: Structural brain measures were obtained using magnetic resonance imaging.

Outcome Measure: It was explored whether laterality of clefts had a significant effect on brain structure. To this end, volumes of tissue types and various brain regions were evaluated.

Results: Total white matter was significantly lower in boys with right clefts compared with boys with left clefts and healthy boys. Gross regional analyses demonstrated that reductions in white matter were evident in both the cerebellum and the cerebrum in boys with right clefts. Furthermore, within the cerebrum, white matter volumes were particularly low in the frontal lobes and the occipital lobes.

Conclusions: These preliminary results suggest that right clefts may be associated with more abnormalities in brain structure. More generally, laterality of a birth defect may have a significant effect on a developing organism.
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Non syndromic or isolated cleft lip and/or palate (ICLP) is one of the most common congenital disorders today and it affects 10 to 11 infants per 10 000 births. Isolated cleft lip and/or palate is, at least in part, caused by abnormal migration of neural crest cells to the facial prominences. Prenatal development of the brain and the face are intimately related. That is, the brain and face both develop from the prechordal region, where surface ectoderm will become the face and neuroectoderm will become the brain. Abnormal facial development is therefore often accompanied by abnormalities in brain development. Likewise, facial abnormalities observed in ICLP could be considered a marker for abnormal brain development. In several studies, our research group has demonstrated evidence for abnormal brain structure in both adults and children with ICLP. In the most recent study of brain structure in children with ICLP compared with healthy comparisons, children with ICLP were found to have an overall reduction in the size of intracranial volume (ICV); and within the smaller brain cavity, the frontal lobes and the cerebellum were most significantly reduced. Furthermore, white matter was most robustly affected, with boys in particular having greater gray matter to white matter ratios.

Additionally, the incidence of cognitive deficits as well as behavioral problems is higher in the cleft population compared with the normal population. These problems are evident early in development. Examples include lower IQ and higher incidences of learning disabilities, speech and language abnormalities, and psychosocial problems. Specifically, children with ICLP show deficits in rapid verbal labeling and expression as well as social inhibition.

Findings from our research group suggest that cognitive and behavioral abnormalities in ICLP are directly related to structural abnormalities in the brain. That is, IQ...
was found to be associated with the size of frontal and parietal lobes; speech problems were associated with cerebellar structure; and being socially withdrawn was associated with regions in the ventral frontal cortex. These findings suggest that abnormal brain development is related to abnormalities in cognition and behavior in ICLP, though other factors may be involved as well, potentially in mediating or moderating roles.

Despite the growing literature on the neurobiology of ICLP, the question of whether the side of clefting differentially affects brain structure (or function) is unexplored. An important clue that the side of clefts may indeed be important comes from the observation that the ratio of left-sided to right-sided clefts is 2:1, which appears to be the case for both men and women. Importantly, human development and biology is characterized by asymmetry of structure and function. This suggests that the side of a defect has biological significance that may be manifested in brain structure.

In the current study, we followed up on our previous examination of brain structure in ICLP by exploring potential differences in brain structure in children with unilateral ICLP and age-matched comparison subjects. If differences exist, it is reasonable to expect that they would be most pronounced in tissue types and regions found to be abnormal in the study by Nopoulos et al, including white matter, the cerebellum, frontal lobe, and occipital lobe. However, we did not have an a priori hypothesis as to which group may be more affected in terms of abnormal brain structure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Right Cleft (n = 14)</th>
<th>Left Cleft (n = 19)</th>
<th>Comparison Subjects (n = 57)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>13.0 (11.5-14.6)</td>
<td>11.7 (10.4-13.1)</td>
<td>12.2 (11.4-13.0)</td>
<td>.47a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status</td>
<td>2.4 (2.0-2.7)</td>
<td>2.4 (2.1-2.7)</td>
<td>2.5 (2.3-2.6)</td>
<td>.79a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right handedness, %</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>.68b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Characteristics of Isolated Cleft Lip and/or the Palate and Comparison Group

Comparison Group

The comparison group was recruited from the community and included 57 healthy boys aged 7 to 17 years (48 of these age-matched subjects were described previously). In both groups, individuals were excluded if they had a history of serious medical and neurological disease that required significant medical intervention. In addition, comparison subjects were also excluded if they had a history of a learning disorder or psychiatric disorder such as attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Written informed consent was obtained for all subjects prior to participation. The study was approved by the University of Iowa Human Subjects institutional review board.

DEMographics

Demographic data included age, parental socioeconomic status, and handedness. Socioeconomic status was determined using a modified Hollingshead scale of 1 to 5 in which a lower number represents higher socioeconomic status. A quantitative scale was used to determine handedness. As can be seen in Table 1, the groups were fairly similar on these demographic variables.

STRUCTURAL IMAGING

Magnetic resonance imaging scans were obtained using a 1.5-T General Electric SIGNA System (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, Wisconsin). Three-dimensional T1-weighted 1.5-mm coronal images were acquired. Proton density– and T2-weighted images were also acquired.

Magnetic resonance imaging data were processed using BRAINS2. Three-dimensional T1-weighted images were bias field–corrected and resampled to 1.01-mm3 voxels. The anterior-posterior axis of the brain was realigned parallel to the anterior commissure–posterior commissure line. The interhemispheric fissure was aligned by selecting points along the fissure in the coronal and
Table 2. Global and Regional Brain Measures in the Different Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure, cm³</th>
<th>Right Cleft (n = 14)</th>
<th>Left Cleft (n = 19)</th>
<th>Comparison Subjects (n = 19)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Mean Difference (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intracranial volume a</td>
<td>1368.9</td>
<td>1402.8</td>
<td>1447.4</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>-33.9 (-118.7 to -51.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF b</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>4.6 (-5.5 to 18.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray matter b</td>
<td>928.9</td>
<td>911.9</td>
<td>918.3</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>17.0 (1.1 to 32.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White matter b</td>
<td>440.1</td>
<td>463.5</td>
<td>461.3</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>-23.4 (-41.7 to -5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>133.2</td>
<td>138.0</td>
<td>142.8</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>.003c</td>
<td>-4.8 (-11.5 to 1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray matter d</td>
<td>115.7</td>
<td>113.0</td>
<td>113.6</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>2.7 (0.3 to 5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White matter d</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td>-2.6 (-5.6 to -0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total b</td>
<td>1198.1</td>
<td>1200.8</td>
<td>1198.7</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>.88</td>
<td>-2.7 (-14.8 to 9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray matter e</td>
<td>793.9</td>
<td>779.8</td>
<td>784.5</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>14.1 (-1.2 to 29.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White matter e</td>
<td>397.5</td>
<td>418.2</td>
<td>416.8</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>.02c</td>
<td>-20.7 (-37.2 to -4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal lobe e</td>
<td>293.0</td>
<td>289.3</td>
<td>292.5</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>2.9 (-3.3 to 9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray matter</td>
<td>156.0</td>
<td>166.3</td>
<td>164.5</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>.01c</td>
<td>-10.3 (-17.8 to -2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White matter</td>
<td>100.1</td>
<td>103.4</td>
<td>102.7</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>-3.4 (-8.3 to 1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal lobe e</td>
<td>164.6</td>
<td>161.3</td>
<td>161.9</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>3.3 (-1.8 to 8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray matter</td>
<td>100.1</td>
<td>103.4</td>
<td>102.7</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>-3.4 (-8.3 to 1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White matter</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>.76</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>-1.4 (-4.8 to 2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal lobe e</td>
<td>191.0</td>
<td>185.8</td>
<td>186.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>.02c</td>
<td>5.2 (1.0 to 9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray matter</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>.76</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>-1.4 (-4.8 to 2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White matter</td>
<td>86.6</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>3.0 (-0.7 to 6.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital lobe e</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>.004c</td>
<td>-4.4 (-7.0 to -1.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid.

a Covariates are height and age.
b Covariates are intracranial volume and age.
c Significant at α ≤ .05.
d Covariates are total cerebellum and age.
e Covariates are total cerebrum and age.

RESULTS

The results of the structural analyses are summarized in Table 2. Both left cleft groups had somewhat lower ICVs; however, this effect did not reach significance (P = .07). When the separate tissue types were evaluated (ICV and age as covariates), it appeared that white matter volumes were lower in boys with right clefts, while cerebrospinal fluid and gray matter volumes were somewhat larger. The group difference reached significance for white matter (F 2,85 = 4.06, P = .02). Specifically, white matter volume was significantly reduced in boys with right clefts compared with boys with left clefts (P = .01) and healthy boys (P = .009), whereas volumes were similar in the latter 2 groups (P = .74). The side X group interaction was not significant for CSF (P = .74). Age was included as a covariate because it has a considerable effect on brain size in children and adolescents. To verify whether group effects were more notable on a certain side of the brain, we performed a 2 (left and right sides of the cranium) × 3 (right cleft, left cleft, and comparison group) repeated-measures ANCOVA, with age and total volume as covariates, and evaluated the side X group interaction.
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ences were detected in total cerebellum tissue volume with ICV and age as covariates (F_{2,35}=6.25, P=.003). The 2 cleft groups did not differ in terms of cerebellar volume (P=.15). However, boys with right clefts did significantly differ from the healthy boys (P<.001), whereas the difference in total cerebellum tissue volume between boys with left clefts and healthy boys was a trend (P=.06).

Cerebellar gray and white matter were then examined separately, with total cerebellum volume and age as covariates. Cerebellar gray matter was similar between the 3 groups (P=.20); however, there was a marginally significant effect for cerebellar white matter (P=.06; Table 2). This effect was driven by boys with right clefts, who had lower cerebellar white matter volumes compared with boys with left clefts and healthy boys (both, P<.05). The latter 2 groups on the other hand, did not differ from each other (P=.90). Again, the side×group interaction effect was not significant (P=.27).

The effect for total cerebrum tissue volume with ICV and age as covariates was not significant (P=.88). Closer inspection revealed that this finding was probably due to a distribution shift of gray and white matter in boys with right clefts. Specifically, the ANCOVA for cerebral gray matter with total cerebrum and age as covariates suggested that cerebral gray matter was somewhat enlarged in boys with right clefts compared with the other groups, though this pattern did not reach significance (P=.19; Table 2). However, group differences were significant for cerebral white matter. Boys with right clefts had lower volumes compared with boys with left clefts (P=.02) and healthy boys (P=.009), whereas the latter 2 groups were similar in terms of cerebral white matter (P=.81). The side×group interaction was not significant (P=.81).

Separate ANCOVA for frontal, temporal, parietal, and occipital gray and white matter volume then examined whether group differences were more pronounced in certain regions. Although cerebral gray matter was somewhat higher in boys with right clefts in all these regions, differences only reached significance for temporal gray matter (P=.02; Table 2). Specifically, larger temporal gray matter volumes were evident in boys with right clefts compared with boys with left clefts (P=.02) and healthy boys (P=.007), while the latter 2 groups were similar (P=.90).

In terms of regional cerebral white matter volume, group effects were found in the frontal lobe (F_{2,35}=4.49, P=.01) as well as the occipital lobe (F_{2,35}=5.93, P=.004; Table 2), whereas white matter volume of the parietal lobe and temporal lobe was very similar between the groups (both, P>.35). Frontal and occipital white matter was significantly lower in boys with right clefts compared with boys with left clefts (both, P<.01) and healthy boys (both, P<.01); however, the latter 2 groups did not differ from each other (both P>.36). The side×group interaction for frontal and occipital white matter was not significant (both, P>.31).

In this sample, differences in white matter were most pronounced; however, our results suggest that gray matter may be somewhat larger in the right cleft group compared with the other groups. To examine the possibility of a distribution shift in gray and white matter in boys with right clefts, the proportion of total cranial gray matter over total cranial white matter was calculated. The ANCOVA with age as covariate was indeed significant (F_{2,36}=5.57, P=.005). Pairwise comparisons revealed that boys with right clefts had proportionally more gray matter than white matter, and this was significantly different from boys with left clefts (P=.01) and healthy boys (P=.001), whereas gray and white matter distribution was similar between the latter 2 groups (P=.70).

To take into account multiple comparisons, the analyses were repeated with the Bonferroni correction. All the reported results remained similar, with the exception of cerebellar white matter. That is, the reduction in cerebellar white matter in boys with right clefts was not different from boys with left clefts (P=.1) and only marginally different from healthy boys (P=.06).

**COMMENT**

These results demonstrated that right-sided clefts in boys are associated with more abnormalities in brain structure, in particular white matter volume, regardless of the side of the brain. Within the cerebrum, reduced white matter volumes were evident in the frontal lobes and the occipital lobes. It should be noted, however, that there may be a tissue distribution shift in boys with right clefts, characterized by more gray matter and relatively less white matter. Our findings are the first to suggest that laterality of clefts does have a differential effect on brain structure and related behavior.

These results underscore the significance of the laterality of a birth defect on the brain. Asymmetry of structure and function is indeed a common theme in human biology and development.31 For example, the right superior frontal and temporal gyri appear earlier than their counterparts on the left side.32 The mechanisms behind these asymmetries lie in left-right asymmetry in gene expression during prenatal development.38 Interestingly, the frontal and striate extrastriate areas are the most asymmetric during fetal brain development,39 and these regions were also most abnormal in boys with right clefts. Furthermore, there is an interesting sex difference in asymmetric growth of the brain. That is, growth of the male fetal cerebrum is characterized by more rapid development of the right hemisphere, whereas female fetuses are more likely to have equally sized hemispheres or a slightly larger left hemisphere.39 On a final note, the right and left halves of the body have markedly different risks of some congenital disorders.40 In other words, laterality of a certain defect may have a significant and differential impact on the (developing) brain.

Interestingly, right-sided insults to the brain appear to have more detrimental effects for adult men. Specifically, lesion studies show that men with right-sided damage to
the amygdala or ventral medial prefrontal cortex have greater deficits in social/emotional conduct than matched men with comparable damage to these regions in the left hemisphere. In contrast, women with left-sided damage show functional impairments, while the women with right-sided lesions were functionally normal.40-43

In our study, there was no evidence that one side of the brain was affected more severely than the other. On the contrary, our findings suggest that an anomalous developmental program may manifest unilaterally in one structure (ie, a unilateral oro facial cleft) but manifest bilaterally or more globally in another (ie, global brain tissue distribution). Potentially these different outcomes are related to the patterns of gene expression specific to each of these regions or to the specific events occurring at the affected stage of development, which may differ and be specific to each region, or perhaps some complex combination of both factors. Given the work done in adult patients with lesions, there appears to be a compelling case that not only intact right cerebral structures but also proper right-sided development, including structures outside of the brain, is critical for normal function in males. An important next step in understanding the importance of laterality of clefts on brain structure will be to test girls and women with unilateral ICLP. Lesion work suggests that left-sided insults to the brain have a more detrimental effect on females.40-43 Therefore, it may be the case that in girls, left-sided clefts are more detrimental for development of the brain. Unilateral ICLP can be an important tool for the investigation of laterality patterns in development.

In conclusion, right-sided clefts appear to have more detrimental effects on certain brain structures than left-sided clefts, which is particularly evident in white matter. Laterality of a defect is therefore not merely a mundane feature or clinical anomaly, but may be an important factor affecting central nervous system development. However, the functional significance is largely unexplored, and it is therefore, as of yet, difficult to understand the potential clinical significance. At the least, these outcomes underscore a need for more research on laterality in birth defects to shed more light on this issue. Isolated cleft lip and palate may prove to be a valuable model to study morphological and functional asymmetry in the brain.

Accepted for Publication: February 5, 2010.

Correspondence: Ellen van der Plas, MPH, Department of Psychiatry, University of Iowa Hospital and Clinics, 200 Hawkins Dr, W278 GH, Iowa City, IA 52242 (ellen-vanderplas@uiowa.edu).

Author Contributions: The first author and principal investigator had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Study concept and design: van der Plas and Nopoulos. Acquisition of data: Canady and Nopoulos. Analysis and interpretation of data: van der Plas, Conrad, Richman, and Nopoulos. Drafting of the manuscript: van der Plas and Nopoulos. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: van der Plas, Conrad, Canady, Richman, and Nopoulos. Statistical analysis: van der Plas, Conrad, and Nopoulos. Ongoing funding: Richman and Nopoulos. Administrative, technical, and material support: Conrad, Canady, and Nopoulos. Study supervision: Nopoulos.

Financial Disclosure: None reported.

Funding/Support: This study was funded by the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research.

REFERENCES


Call for Papers

Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine will devote the May 2011 issue to the topic of quality of care. We are interested in the broad range of issues related to quality, both in the inpatient and ambulatory settings, that are tested using rigorous experimental designs. We welcome original research, review articles, and commentaries. Manuscripts submitted before September 15, 2010, will have the best chance of being included in the theme issue. Please consult www.archpediatrics.com for more information on manuscript preparation and submission.