Survival Sex Work Involvement as a Primary Risk Factor for Hepatitis C Virus Acquisition in Drug-Using Youths in a Canadian Setting
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Objective: To examine whether there were differential rates of hepatitis C virus (HCV) incidence in injecting drug–using youths who did and did not report involvement in survival sex work.

Design: Data were derived from 2 prospective cohort studies of injecting drug users (May 1, 1996, to July 31, 2007). Analyses were restricted to HCV antibody–negative youths who completed baseline and at least 1 follow-up assessment.

Setting: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

Participants: Of 3074 injecting drug users, 364 (11.8%) were youths (aged 14-24 years) with a median age of 21.3 years and a duration of injecting drug use of 3 years.

Main Exposure: Survival sex work involvement.

Main Outcome Measure: The Kaplan-Meier method and Cox proportional hazards regression were used to compare HCV incidence among youths who did and did not report survival sex work.

Results: Baseline HCV prevalence was 51%, with youths involved in survival sex work significantly more likely to be HCV antibody positive (60% vs 44%; P = .002). In baseline HCV antibody–negative youths, the cumulative HCV incidence at 36 months was significantly higher in those involved in survival sex work (68.4% vs 38.8%; P < .001). The HCV incidence density was 36.8 (95% confidence interval [CI], 24.2-53.5) per 100 person-years in youths reporting survival sex work involvement at baseline compared with 14.1 (9.4-20.3) per 100 person-years in youths not reporting survival sex work. In multivariate Cox proportional hazards analyses, survival sex work was the strongest predictor of elevated HCV incidence (adjusted relative hazard, 2.30; 95% CI, 1.27-4.15).

Conclusion: This study calls attention to the critical need for evidence-based social and structural HCV prevention efforts that target youths engaged in survival sex work.


According to recent World Health Organization estimates, more than 170 million people are infected with hepatitis C virus (HCV) worldwide, with injecting drug use contributing to more than 90% of new infections. The HCV itself is associated with significant morbidity and mortality and has also recently been shown to be an important biomarker for evolving human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) epidemics in injecting drug users (IDUs). Sharing of used syringes remains the primary mode of HCV transmission. There is also some evidence to suggest that sharing of other injection and noninjection paraphernalia, such as filters and cookers, may increase the risk of transmission.

It is estimated that most HCV infections occur early in an IDU’s career due to high transmissibility of the HCV and increased risky drug use practices in young IDUs. Among street-involved youths who inject drugs, the HCV incidence ranges from 10 to 36 per 100 person-years. Although youths who inject drugs represent a crucial window for targeted prevention efforts, the epidemiologic features of HCV among this population remain poorly defined.

As a highly marginalized population, street youths experience heightened rates of homelessness, mental illness, drug-related harms, violence, sexual exploitation, and premature mortality. Recent evidence suggests significant potential for increased drug-related harm in street youths who exchange sex for survival due to heightened risk environments, poorer access to services, and increased concurrency of sex and drug use partners. Research in female and transgender sex workers in Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, has demonstrated that enforcement of criminalized prostitution...
legislation, including prohibitions on soliciting in public spaces, displaces younger sex workers to more isolated spaces away from health services and syringe exchange programs,18 reduces sex workers’ ability to negotiate condom use,19 and increases the odds of physical and sexual violence.20 Given the rapid acquisition of HCV and the urgent need to identify highly susceptible subgroups of youths and new injecting initiates,8,11,12 coupled with growing concern of harms in young IDUs who exchange sex, we sought to prospectively examine the HCV incidence during a 10-year period in youths who did and did not report involvement in survival sex work.

METHODS

Data were derived through a collaboration between 2 prospective cohort studies of IDUs in Vancouver: (1) the Vancouver Injection Drug Users Study (VIDUS), an ongoing open prospective cohort study initiated in 1996 through snowball sampling methods and targeted outreach at local services (eg, syringe exchange programs),21 and (2) the Scientific Evaluation of Supervised Injecting (SEOSI) cohort, an ongoing open prospective cohort study initiated in 2003 through random sampling methods from Vancouver’s supervised injecting facility.22 Both studies involved HCV antibody testing and interviewer-administered questionnaires at baseline and semiannual follow-up. As previously reported,23,24 the follow-up procedures and questionnaire items were identical in both studies to allow for merging of the data sets. Both studies received ethical approval from the University of British Columbia/Providence Healthcare Research Ethics Board.

Analyses were restricted to youths (aged 14-24 years) recruited between May 1, 1996, and July 31, 2007. The definition of youth was based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines for HCV prevention.25 For these analyses, and consistent with previous work,23,24 youths who were recruited into both cohorts were retained only in the cohort in which they were first enrolled. Because these 2 cohorts share research office space and outreach staff, there were no cases in which youths were lost to follow-up in one study but maintained in the other.

The HCV incidence rates were compared in youths who did and did not report involvement in survival sex work. Survival sex work was defined as exchanging sex for money, drugs, shelter, or other commodities in the previous 6 months.6 Analyses were restricted to youths who were HCV antibody negative at baseline and who had at least 1 follow-up visit. Variables considered based on an a priori–defined statistical protocol for HCV incidence were age; sex; ethnicity; unstable housing; residence in the IDU epicenter (Vancouver’s Downtown Eastside); daily heroin, cocaine, and crystal methamphetamine injection; daily crack cocaine smoking; receptive syringe sharing; and unprotected sex (consistent condom use for vaginal or anal sex). Given evidence of an elevated burden of HIV infection in individuals of Aboriginal ancestry,23 ethnicity was categorized as self-identified as Aboriginal vs non-Aboriginal. All variable definitions were consistent with those used in earlier studies21,23 and refer to the 6 months before the interview.

KAPLAN-MEIER ANALYSES

Cumulative HCV incidence was calculated for youths who did and did not report survival sex work at baseline. As previously noted,8 the date of HCV seroconversion was estimated to be the midpoint between the last negative and the first positive antibody test results. Participants who remained persistently HCV seronegative were right censored at the time of their most recent available HCV antibody test result before July 31, 2007. Time zero for all prospective analyses was the date of recruitment into the respective cohorts.

COX PROPORTIONAL HAZARDS REGRESSION ANALYSES

Unadjusted and adjusted relative hazards (RHs) of HCV seroconversion were calculated using Cox proportional hazards regression. All variables, including survival sex work, were treated as time-updated covariates on the basis of semiannual follow-up data. Kuyper et al26,27 demonstrated that less than 5% of IDUs engaged in sex work in this cohort had ceased sex work at a subsequent follow-up visit, suggesting that sex work is a persistent resource acquisition strategy for this population. For the multivariate model, a fixed model was built that adjusted for all variables that retained statistical significance at \( P < .05 \) in unadjusted analyses and for cohort of recruitment. Given the concern of differences in risk for HCV acquisition by age, we conducted stratified models by age group (14-19 years and 20-24 years) to calculate RHs of HCV seroconversion using Cox proportional hazards regression.

During the study, 3074 IDUs were recruited into either the VIDUS or the SEOSI, of whom 364 (11.8%) met the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention definition of youth (14-24 years old).25 No differences were noted in the demographic characteristics or risk factors between youths included in the analyses and those lost to follow-up. Baseline HCV prevalence was 51%. Youths reporting survival sex work at baseline were significantly more likely to have positive HCV test results compared with those not reporting survival sex work (60% vs 44%, \( P = .002 \)). Median participant age was 21.3 years (interquartile range, 19.5-22.7 years), with no differences in age by survival sex work involvement (\( P = .35 \)). No differences in survival sex work were observed by sex, consistent with earlier work20,22 demonstrating close to identical risk factors for survival sex work in male and female IDUs in this cohort.

Of the 179 youths who were HCV negative at baseline, 127 (70.9%) had at least 1 follow-up visit. As of July 31, 2007, 56 HCV seroconversions were observed, yielding an incidence density of 20.1 (95% confidence interval [CI], 15.2-26.1) per 100 person-years. There was no difference in duration of IDU by HCV seroconversion (\( P = .19 \)).

The Kaplan-Meier cumulative HCV incidence after 36 months of follow-up was 68.4% in those who reported survival sex work at baseline compared with 38.8% in those who did not (log-rank \( P < .001 \)) (Figure). As of July 31, 2007, the HCV incidence density in youths engaged in survival sex work at baseline was 36.8 (95% CI, 24.2-53.3) per 100 person-years compared with 14.1 (9.4-20.3) per 100 person-years in those not engaged in survival sex work.

In unadjusted Cox regression analyses, the RH of HCV seroconversion for youths engaged in survival sex work was 3.04 (95% CI, 1.73-5.32) (Table 1). In analyses stratified by age group, the RH of HCV seroconversion...
This study demonstrates a drastically elevated HCV incidence in youths engaged in survival sex work in a large Canadian city. Of particular concern, the cumulative HCV incidence reached 50% at 18 months of follow-up and close to 70% after 36 months of follow-up in HCV antibody–negative youths involved in survival sex work.

Although there is conflicting evidence regarding the potential for sexual HCV transmission, it remains a relatively ineffective mode of transmission. Instead, the elevated HCV incidence in youths engaged in survival sex work herein likely reflects differing social networks or risk environment of injection whereby barriers to accessing clean syringes or HCV prevalence in those lending syringes is higher. For example, ubiquitous interpersonal relationships among IDUs, including the formation of drug-using sexual partnerships and the collusion of money for drug procurement with sex partners, have been shown to elevate the HCV incidence. Evidence suggests that drug-using youths involved in survival sex work have even greater overlap in their sexual and drug use networks and are more likely to have older intimate partners who control access to and preparation of drugs, thereby reducing their ability to negotiate safer injecting practices. These findings warrant consideration of HCV interventions that target sexual partnerships and transactions as key sites of drug risk management in youths.

This study offers the first longitudinal analysis demonstrating survival sex as a primary risk factor for HCV acquisition in drug-using youths. Of concern given the established synergistic link between HCV and HIV epidemics, the Bush administration’s legal ban on access to global HIV research and intervention funding targeting individuals engaged in sex work, known as the “antiprostitution pledge,” was retained in Congress’ renewal of the President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief in August 2008. The failure to base public health policy on scientific evidence continues to challenge prevention and resource capacity targeting blood-borne transmission in vulnerable youths. Furthermore, all...
though growing evidence demonstrates the critical role of social and structural interventions in promoting risk reduction in sex workers in developing country settings and indoor sex work establishments,15,37,38 there continues to be limited evaluation of these strategies in street-involved sex workers and those in developed country settings. Evidence-based strategies to mitigate harms among sex workers, supported by World Health Organization best practices,15,37,38 include the removal of criminal sanctions that target sex workers and clients, structural support for peer sex work networks that regulate safer industry practices (eg, occupational health and safety standards), and safer-environment interventions, such as managed indoor spaces and regulated nonharassment zones close to health and harm reduction services.

The present longitudinal evaluation of HCV incidence extends earlier trends observed in younger adult IDUs (18-30 years old) between 2000 and 200112 and in older, longer-term IDUs.60 A preliminary HCV incidence study6 (1996-2000) in young IDUs in the VIDUS cohort found no relationship with engagement in survival sex work in this setting. Similarly, although survival sex work predicted HIV acquisition in earlier unadjusted analyses, we found no significant relationship in the final model.41 Subsequent longitudinal follow-up during an additional 7 years supported by the merging of 2 IDU cohorts has enabled further prospective analyses of factors that predict HCV seroconversion in street-involved youths who inject drugs.

Several limitations need to be considered. First, self-reported practices, particularly stigmatized behaviors, may be subject to social desirability bias. However, any underestimation of survival sex work would likely have attenuated the effect size toward the null. Second, although there are always concerns of generalizability because of the inability to recruit a random sample of IDUs, sample demographics between the cohorts were consistent with the general IDU population in Vancouver.23,24 Third, differing recruitment methods and times of initiation were used to derive the VIDUS and SEOSI cohorts,21,22 and there is a possibility that risk factors for HCV changed across time. Consistent with earlier work,23,24 we sought to address this by adjusting for cohort of recruitment. Fourth, our reliance on HCV antibody testing may have overestimated rates of chronic HCV infection. Fifth, it is possible that in some cases, HCV RNA was present at the visit before antibody seroconversion due to the 60-day delay in detectable HCV RNA antibody. If this were the case, time to HCV incidence would have been shorter than we reported. However, there is no reason to suggest that either of these cases would be different for youths who do and do not exchange sex.

In summary, this study demonstrates markedly elevated baseline HCV prevalence and subsequent HCV incidence in youths involved in survival sex work. Given the brief window of opportunity for HCV prevention in highly susceptible youths, this study calls attention to a critical need for evidence-based social and structural prevention, including evaluation of legal policy reforms, that targets youths engaged in survival sex work and suggests that legal barriers to intervention be reconsidered.31
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