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Background: Evidence shows that the rapid detection of influenza using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay decreases antibiotic use in the treatment of pediatric patients. To our knowledge, the effect on other diagnostic testing in an emergency department (ED) has not been examined.

Objective: To determine the effect of rapid diagnosis of influenza virus type A on the clinical management of febrile infants and toddlers in a pediatric ED at an urban children's hospital.

Materials and Methods: A retrospective review of ED records from an electronic database was performed. All children 2 to 24 months of age, with a temperature higher than 39°C who had a positive influenza virus type A test result using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay from November 1, 1998, through April 30, 2000 (n=72), were included in this study. Two groups were compared—those who had positive test results reported before discharge from the ED (early diagnosis) and those who had positive test results after discharge (late diagnosis).

Results: Forty-seven patients (65%) were in the early diagnosis group and 25 (35%) in the late diagnosis group. The groups were similar for age, temperature, and triage category. Fewer patients in the early diagnosis group received ceftriaxone sodium compared with those in the late diagnosis group (2% vs 24%, \( P = .006 \)); there were fewer urinalyses (2% vs 24%, \( P = .006 \)) and complete blood cell counts performed (17% vs 44%, \( P = .02 \)).

Conclusions: Rapid confirmation of influenza virus type A infection seems to decrease ancillary tests and antibiotic use in febrile infants and toddlers in the ED. A prospective study with a larger group is needed to confirm these findings.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

DATA COLLECTION

This study was exempted from review by the pediatric institutional review board at the University of Missouri at Kansas City because no patient identifiers were collected and clinical care was not affected. The setting was an urban children’s hospital ED with an annual census of 35000. Approximately 2000 febrile infants and toddlers are evaluated in the ED each year. Clinical data were accessed through the central data repository at the hospital where all patient encounters data are stored. Electronic medical records of all infants and toddlers 2 to 24 months old, with a temperature higher than 39°C, who presented to the ED or the urgent care clinic between November 1, 1998, through March 30, 1999, and November 1, 1999, through March 30, 2000, were retrospectively identified. All patients who had an ELISA test to detect IVTA and tested positive were included herein. The decision to perform an IVTA test was made at the discretion of the individual treating physician; no specific set of criteria was used, including the timing of the testing during the ED visit. The hospital laboratory used the same ELISA to detect IVTA infection in each of the 2 study periods. The test used was commercially available (Directigen FLU-A; Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ). Using cell culture and blocking results as the reference, the manufacturer estimated this test to have an overall sensitivity of 91% and a specificity of 95%.8 Variables recorded included triage status (emergent, urgent, or nonurgent); date and time the patient was seen; date and time the influenza virus type A test was ordered; date and time of the influenza virus type A test results; other ancillary tests ordered such as UA, CBC, and chest radiographs with their results; whether the patient was admitted to the hospital; length of inpatient stay; and total charges.

DATA ANALYSIS

Data were first analyzed using descriptive methods. All of the categorical variables were tested using the Fisher exact test. All continuous outcomes were compared using an independent samples t test. All tests were 2-sided at an α level of .05. Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS Version 9 for Windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill).

has been studied for enterovirus and IVTA infections.10,11 Rapid diagnosis of enterovirus infections was shown to decrease unnecessary diagnostic and therapeutic interventions such as the administration of intravenous antibiotics, chest radiographs, and computed tomographic scans.10 A study by Noyola and Demmler10 demonstrated that rapid diagnosis of influenza virus type A resulted in a positive effect on medical management by decreasing antibiotic use both in the emergency department (ED) and for hospitalized pediatric patients.

RESULTS

During the 2-year study period there were a total of 2772 infants and toddlers between ages 2 and 24 months with a temperature of higher than 39°C who presented to the ED for urgent care. Of these 183 (6.6%) were tested for IVTA, a total of 72 infants and toddlers had a positive test result and were included in the study; 47 (65%) had test results available before discharge from the ED (early diagnosis) and 25 (33%) had test results available after discharge (late diagnosis). For all patients, the mean age was 9 months; mean temperature, 39.8°C; and the white blood cell count ranged from 5200 to 18300 cells/µL (mean of 11800 cells/µL). Early and late diagnosis groups did not significantly differ for age, triage categories, temperature, white blood cell count, chest radiographs performed, mean (SD) length of stay in the ED 187 (58.9) vs 204 (80.6) minutes, mean (SD) charges $650 (339.5) vs $747 (337.6), or admission to an inpatient unit.

As given in the Table fewer patients in the early diagnosis group received ceftriaxone sodium compared with the late diagnosis group (2% vs 24%, P = .006). Similarly, fewer UAs (2% vs 24%, P = .006) and CBCs (17% vs 44%, P = .02) were performed in the early vs late diagnosis groups.
Managing febrile infants and toddlers in EDs or urgent care is associated with costly and painful interventions, which may be decreased if a definitive diagnosis is readily available. Test results must be available at the time clinical decisions are made, for the ED, on a 24-hour basis. This study confirms a decrease in antibiotic and ancillary test use in febrile infants and toddlers presenting to the ED where the diagnosis of IVTA infection was confirmed rapidly.

We show that a preliminary diagnosis of influenza virus type A, when an obvious bacterial source was not present, did decrease antibiotic use. Patients in whom the diagnosis of influenza was confirmed before ED discharge were less likely to receive ceftriaxone therapy than those with the same disease but in whom the diagnosis was not confirmed before ED discharge. This suggests that physician decision making was influenced by the test results. Bacterial and viral infections have been shown to coexist. But, the incidence of serious bacterial infections in infants and toddlers with other viral infections such as viral syndromes and bronchiolitis with respiratory syncytial virus infection has been shown to be low.

We observed statistically significant differences in the use of ancillary tests such as UAs and CBCs. Data from the rapid diagnosis of enteroviral meningitis by enteroviral-specific reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction suggest that the test must be ordered early in the evaluation process to have a maximum effect on patient management. In busy EDs many tests may be ordered at the same time; however, further reductions are likely in ancillary test use, such as chest radiographs, if the IVTA tests are ordered early in the evaluation process in the IVTA season. A cost analysis of enteroviral-specific reverse transcriptase polymerase change reaction demonstrated significant cost savings especially if the test was limited to use in the enteroviral season. The length of stay in the ED was not different between the groups whose conditions were diagnosed prior to discharge compared with those whose conditions were diagnosed later, which suggests that the test did not prolong the time the infant spent in the ED.

This observational study has clear limitations. Most importantly, the group of children being tested was chosen at the discretion of the physician and not randomly selected. While it seems early testing has the potential of decreasing antibiotic usage and ancillary testing, future studies examining a comparison of randomly selected children will be necessary to generalize these findings. Other limitations include the small sample size. This study had only limited power to detect large effects. For an outcome such as chest radiograph use, which had 68% use in the patients in the late diagnosis group, we would have 81% power for detecting a decline to 31% in the early diagnosis group. Thus, this research design only had enough precision to detect very large differences between the 2 groups. Another limitation is the retrospective nature of the study, because of this, it cannot be concluded that the positive test result was the sole cause of the significant reductions in antibiotic and ancillary tests that we observed; other factors may have played a role in management. We did not examine the effect of the influenza test on other aspects of the patients' management, for example, other viral tests done or the use of intravenous fluids. Lastly, we only examined the use of ceftriaxone but not other broad-spectrum antibiotics. We believe this is unlikely to introduce bias since in our ED only ceftriaxone is used in the vast majority of cases and it is our impression that this practice did not change during the study period.

We demonstrated decreases in ceftriaxone therapy use and the number of UAs and CBCs ordered in a group of febrile infants and toddlers with IVTA infection presenting to the ED in whom the results of the ELISA for IVTA was available before discharge from the ED compared with infants and toddlers for whom the test results were available later. A prospective larger study is needed to confirm our findings and to test the effects on other factors such as patient satisfaction with care and return visits to the ED or primary care physician offices.
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