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Importance: Obesity is prevalent among hospitalized children. Knowledge of the relationship between obesity and outcomes in hospitalized children will enhance nutrition assessment and provide opportunities for interventions.

Objective: To systematically review the existing literature concerning the impact of obesity on clinical outcomes in hospitalized children.

Evidence Acquisition: PubMed, Web of Science, and EMBASE databases were searched for studies of hospitalized children aged 2 to 18 years with identified obesity and at least 1 of the following clinical outcomes: all-cause mortality, incidence of infections, and length of hospital stay. Cohort and case-control studies were included. Cross-sectional studies, studies of healthy children, and those without defined criteria for classifying weight status were excluded. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale was used to assess study quality.

Results: Twenty-eight studies (26 retrospective; 24 cohort and 4 case-control) were included. Of the 21 studies that included mortality as an outcome, 10 reported a significant positive relationship between obesity and mortality. The incidence of infections was assessed in 8 of the 28 studies; 2 reported significantly more infections in obese compared with nonobese patients. Of the 11 studies that examined length of stay, 5 reported significantly longer lengths of hospital stay for obese children. Fifteen studies (53%) had a high quality score. Larger studies observed significant relationships between obesity and outcomes. Studies of critically ill, oncologic or stem cell transplant, and solid organ transplant patients showed a relationship between obesity and mortality.

Conclusions and Relevance: The available literature on the relationship between obesity and clinical outcomes is limited by subject heterogeneity, variations in criteria for defining obesity, and outcomes examined. Childhood obesity may be a risk factor for higher mortality in hospitalized children with critical illness, oncologic diagnoses, or transplants. Further examination of the relationship between obesity and clinical outcomes in this subgroup of hospitalized children is needed.


THE PREVALENCE OF OBESITY among children has reached epidemic proportions worldwide.1,2 Childhood obesity has been associated with insulin resistance, hypertension, and metabolic syndrome, as well as adult obesity and premature mortality.3 Obesity and excess body fat during acute illness in childhood may contribute to further morbidities. The inflammatory response to illness, surgery, and trauma triggers protein turnover to provide substrate for the catabolic response to stress, resulting in substantial loss of lean body mass,4 thereby increasing the relative proportion of fat mass. Obesity is associated with both inflammation and a weakened immune response.5 As a result, complications related to acute illness may be compounded by obesity.6

The relationships between obesity and clinical outcomes have been explored in adults with critical illness. Two meta-analyses concluded that obesity did not contribute to excess mortality in this group, although there were conflicting results about the effect of obesity on the duration of mechanical ventilation and hospital length of stay (LOS).7,8 Analyses of hospitalization patterns have suggested higher hospital charges and longer hospital stays for obese children.9,10 Several cross-sectional and cohort studies have suggested that obesity may contribute to respiratory complications in children.11,12 These findings provide important rationale for investigations of pedi-
We included original studies of children, between 2 and 18 years of age, who were hospitalized for acute or chronic illness. Obesity was defined by standardized criteria (ie, percentiles or z scores for body mass index [BMI, calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared], weight for age, or weight for height, based on reference growth data from the World Health Organization, Centers for Disease Control/National Center for Health Statistics, or country-specific growth standards). Studies in which obesity was analyzed as a predictor for 1 or more measured clinical outcomes, namely, mortality, incidence of infections, and hospital LOS, were included. Studies not available in English were excluded. We excluded studies of ambulatory children; studies of exclusively adult or infant populations; studies without an explicit definition of obesity, measurement, or criteria for obesity and/or overweight status; and studies without a normal-weight comparison group. Cross-sectional studies, letters, and case reports were also excluded as they are not designed to answer etiologic questions.

The PubMed database from 1970 to April 2012, Web of Science from 1980 to 2012, and EMBASE from 1974 to 2012 were searched for prospective and retrospective observational cohort and case-control studies. Search terms used were obesity, body mass index, nutrition assessment, nutritional status, morbidity, mortality, infections, wound infections, cross infection, focal infection, bacterial infection, opportunistic infections, sepsis, systemic inflammatory response syndrome, respiratory distress, trauma, wounds and injuries, critical illness, and critical care. The primary electronic search strategy performed is outlined in Table 1. In addition, the suggested methods were prioritized for inclusion in the summary table. Studies were abstracted using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale, a tool developed and partially validated for the purposes of evaluating nonrandomized studies used in systematic reviews and meta-analyses. One author (L.J.B.) conducted the quality assessments. A total score of 5 or less was considered low, 6 or 7 was considered moderate, and 8 or 9 was deemed high quality.

### RESULTS

The results of the search and selection of studies are described in the Figure. All relevant full-text articles (n = 353) were assessed for inclusion criteria. There were 28 studies that met the inclusion criteria and were included in this review. Most of the studies were retrospective cohort (n = 23); 1 study was a prospective cohort. Of the 4 case-control studies, 1 was prospective and 3 were retrospective data collections. Summary data for each study are shown in the eTable and grouped by illness type or condition. Patients in 32% of the studies (n = 9) were categorized as having critical illness or trauma. Thirty-six percent of the studies (n = 14) included children with cancer or following hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, 14% (n = 4) were of those who underwent elective surgical procedures (orthopedic, adenotonsillectomy, and cholecystectomy), and the remaining 18% (n = 5) were of children who received solid organ transplants (1 renal, 3 cardiac, and 1 liver).
Studies varied in size from fewer than 100 subjects to nearly 10,000 subjects, with 15 single-center investigations and 13 multicenter reports. The quality scores for each domain of the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale are presented in Table 2. Six of the studies were considered low quality, 10 were considered moderate quality, and 12 were determined to be high quality based on their total scores. Univariate and multivariate analyses were used in most studies to allow adjustment for confounding variables such as admission diagnosis, illness severity, age, sex, and race/ethnicity. In 6 studies, no analysis or control for covariates were reported. Table 3 summarizes the data as classified by the 3 outcome categories—mortality, infections, and LOS—with size of the populations and quality assessment noted.

**MORTALITY**

Of the 21 studies investigating the relationship between obesity and mortality, 10 studies reported obesity as a significant predictor of death through multivariate modeling by logistic regression or a Cox proportional hazards model. One half of the cancer and stem cell transplant studies (n = 5) found obesity to be a significant predictor of mortality.22,24,36,40,42 None of the elective surgery studies (n = 4) investigated mortality as an outcome,28,34,43,44 probably owing to the low mortality rates associated with these procedures. In 11 studies, there were no significant associations between obesity and mortality.† Study quality, as assessed by the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale, was higher, on average, among studies reporting obesity as a significant predictor of mortality. In 3 studies, the incidence of mortality was compared by weight group only,17,26,41 with no analysis for covariates. Only 1 study was prospective.18 Most studies were large cohort and multicenter studies. Most analyses controlled for covariates and confounders, although the retrospective data collection limited the availability of relevant confounding data in several studies.

---

**Table 2. Quality Assessment of 28 Included Studies Using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Selection</th>
<th>Comparability</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohort studies</td>
<td>Selection</td>
<td>Comparability</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown et al,17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Srinivasan et al,18 2010</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goh et al,19 2013</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll et al,20 2006</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yu et al,21 2011</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lange et al,22 2005</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baillargeon et al,23 2006</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barker et al,24 2011</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hingorani et al,25 2011</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rana et al,26 2009</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patel et al,27 2010</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garey et al,28 2010</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanekold et al,29 2005</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaufman et al,30 2009</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dick et al,31 2010</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rossano et al,32 2007</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaufman et al,33 2008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nafiu et al,34 2009</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hijiya et al,35 2006</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulley et al,36 2008</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fernandez et al,37 2009</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine et al,38 2011</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kraft et al,39 2012</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White et al,40 2012</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-control studies</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan et al,41 2010</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butturini et al,42 2007</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limam et al,43 2009</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fung et al,44 2010</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2Higher quality studies are reflected by higher numbers of stars in each category. The maximum possible star scores for each study criteria are 4 for selection, 2 for comparability, and 3 for outcome or exposure, for a total possible score of 9.

---

*References 17-19, 21-24, 26, 29-33, 35-39, 41, 42.
†References 18, 21, 22, 24, 29, 31, 33, 36, 40, 42.
‡References 17, 19, 23, 26, 30, 32, 35, 37-39, 41.
INFECTIONS

Eight studies (29%), all including surgical patients, investigated the effect of obesity on the incidence of infectious outcomes.\(^{17,25,26,30,32,33,39,43}\) Populations studied included trauma patients, patients with osteosarcoma, and 3 studies of heart transplant recipients.\(^{30,32,33}\) A significant association between obesity and the incidence of infections was reported in 2 of the 8 studies, including 1 study of critically ill trauma patients\(^{17}\) and 1 study of patients who underwent spinal fusion surgery.\(^{43}\) The remaining 6 studies found no significant associations between obesity and infectious outcomes, although 3 of these studies did not report detailed data on the actual incidence of infections in the cohorts.\(^{26,32,33}\) The average quality score was similar among all studies that analyzed for relationships between obesity and infections. One study reported a significantly higher risk for surgical site infections in obese patients compared with nonobese control subjects, which persisted in a multivariate regression model.\(^{43}\) Two multicenter studies\(^{25,30}\) and 1 large single-center study\(^{26}\) were among those that did not find significant relationships between obesity and the risk for infections.

HOSPITAL LOS

Six studies of critically ill/trauma patients\(^{17,29,20,27,39,43}\) and 3 including patients who underwent elective surgery\(^{28,34,44}\) investigated the relationship between obesity and LOS. Two of the critical illness studies\(^{20,27}\) reported a significant relationship between LOS and obesity. Of the 3 studies of elective surgery patients that examined hospitalization time, 2 found that obesity was significantly related to a lengthier hospital course.\(^{36,44}\) Two solid organ transplant studies compared LOS among weight categories. One found significantly longer LOS in obese patients\(^{33}\) and the other found no difference in LOS according to weight category.\(^{30}\) A similar discrepancy existed with the 2 studies of patients with burns\(^{27,39}\); however, only 1 of these adjusted for covariates in the analysis.\(^{27}\) As assessed by the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale, quality scores were higher, on average, among studies reporting obesity as a significant contributor to LOS (n = 5). Four of the 6 studies that reported no significant association between obesity and LOS were of low quality. None of the studies of children with cancer or following hematopoietic stem cell transplantation explored LOS systematically.

COMMENT

To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review to appraise the existing literature concerning the relationship between obesity and important clinical outcomes in hospitalized children. We summarized studies with diverse relationships between obesity and 3 clinically important outcomes: mortality, incidence of infections, and hospital LOS. Compared with studies finding no relationship between obesity and adverse clinical outcomes, studies describing a higher risk for mortality and longer LOS in obese children compared with normal-weight children were generally higher quality studies with adjustment for covariates. The incidence of infections was described in fewer studies overall, with smaller populations and lower study quality, suggesting that no conclusion about this outcome can be proposed.
Based on the large number of studies that were identified and reviewed for eligibility (Figure; n = 353), there is great interest in this topic of investigation; however, studies are often limited to small cohorts, otherwise healthy children, or nutritional outcomes. Studies meeting the inclusion criteria for this review encompassed a variety of patients with acute illness, chronic conditions, and surgical interventions, but they did not capture all of the common reasons for hospitalization of children. The limited number of available studies, heterogeneity of populations, analysis methods, obesity groupings, and measured outcomes made it difficult to combine results for a meaningful conclusion.

All but 2 of the evaluated studies had retrospective in nature. The value of retrospective data is limited owing to the historical nature of the collection efforts. There can be bias in sample selection because the targeted population may not have had the specific data collected in the past. Several studies included in this review consisted of samples that were subsets of a population, most often owing to lack of data recorded on key variables, notably height and weight.

The criteria for defining obesity and how patients were grouped also varied: 9 studies grouped patients dichotomously as obese or nonobese, but the remaining 19 defined more than 2 groups by weight status. Specification of criteria for obesity designation based on published standards was required for inclusion in this review. However, different criteria and cutoff points were reported. Two studies did not specifically identify the growth data set for the sample’s calculated percentiles. Differences in obesity classification may also confound results of observational studies. Bias may be introduced during the selection of obesity cutoff points, particularly with a retrospective design, in an effort to better reflect a proposed relationship. Standardized, meaningful criteria across age groups and transparent reporting of data may help clarify sample descriptions. Globally, overweight and obesity status may be defined as greater than 2 and greater than 3 standard deviations, respectively, from the World Health Organization standard BMI median, commonly referred to as BMI z scores.

Accurate weights and heights must be available for calculation of BMI. One study included in this review relied on a calculated median value of height for age to determine BMIs used in the analysis, while 2 studies did not obtain or estimate height and used weight for age as obesity criteria. Lack of height measures in acute care settings is common and may lead to less accurate growth assessment. Criteria for defining obesity varied in the studies included in this review. Moreover, the use of different national reference norms and cutoff criteria may reduce the generalizability of international data comparisons. Therefore, a uniform definition for obesity across populations is needed to advance the epidemiologic study of obesity-related outcomes. The use of BMI z scores as continuous variables for the analysis of large, multicenter cohorts of children may help differentiate the magnitude of obesity with associated outcomes, although the limitations of the comparative data are acknowledged.

The investigation of mortality in children is challenging owing to its infrequent occurrence. The possibility of publication bias exists because nonsignificant findings may be perceived as uninteresting to report. Studies with mortality as an outcome are more common with chronic diseases, such as cancer, or with critical illness. Several studies that found obesity predictive of mortality were conducted in children with chronic disease. Although results were mixed, the large number of patients in studies suggesting obesity was a significant predictor of mortality implicates the presence of a persistent relationship, particularly when studies controlled for covariates. Therefore, there is a suggestion that the risk for mortality is elevated in obese children with prolonged illnesses. This is consistent with the overall implication that obese adults have a shortened life span; however, perhaps in these ill children, the effect of obesity is enhanced by the length of illness.

Morbidity for the purposes of this review was limited to the incidence of infections, which in severely ill children are likely to be important indicators for overall treatment success or failure. Physiological effects of obesity on immune function have been described in animal models and proposed by human associations. Obesity is linked to chronic inflammation and a defective, inattentive immune response. While the findings of this review suggest no clear association between obesity and the incidence of infections, sample sizes and the number of studies investigating infectious outcomes were small. A carefully controlled, small study of children who underwent spinal fusion found obesity to be a significant and independent risk factor for surgical site infections (odds ratio, 3.1; 95% CI, 1.1-9.1; $P = .04$) in a multivariable regression model including hypothermia, antibiotic prophylaxis, and an underlying health status score. Conversely, a large multicenter study of heart transplant recipients found no significant association between obesity and the incidence of infection and accounted for more than half of the total patients reviewed with this finding. This particular analysis compared wasted, normally nourished, and obese groups, but it did not investigate the effect of confounders or covariates on the incidence of infections. The total number of patients studied for which no significant association between obesity and infections was found was much larger than those that did find an association; however, the skew of the single large cohort without covariate analysis precludes any conclusions from generalization to the larger population of ill children.

Less than half of the included studies systematically measured the relationship between obesity and hospitalization time. The results were nearly evenly split between a significant relationship ($n = 5$) and no significant relationship ($n = 6$) between obesity and LOS. Most analyses included patients with short-term hospital stays, which may be less influenced by the chronic effects of obesity. Institutional and protocol specifications of treatment, as well as the extended course often incurred by patients with chronic diseases such as cancer, may preclude unbiased studies of LOS. Larger numbers of patients were included in studies that found no significant

References 17, 19, 20, 26-28, 30, 33, 34, 39, 44.
relationship between obesity and LOS. However, only 1 of these studies controlled for covariates compared with 3 of the 5 studies that found a significant relationship between obesity and LOS. All but 1 of the studies that analyzed for LOS were single-center cohorts, an effect that could be specific to an institution, therefore, may limit the generalizability of the findings.

Limitations of this review include the inconsistency of methods and obesity groupings, as well as the variety of reported study outcomes and populations included. Body mass index often correlates with body composition in large groups, but reliance on BMI percentile cutoffs to assess the influence of obesity on outcomes may further dilute its association with changes in body fat. Consistent criteria for identifying excessive body fat in children would help investigators clarify its relationship with clinical outcomes. Among large groups of sick children, BMI ≥2 score is likely the most practical currently available marker of excessive body fat because it accounts for age, weight, and height, and it allows the analysis of a specific numeric indicator.

The relationship between obesity and clinical outcomes across the spectrum of childhood illnesses remains inconclusive. Current research is limited by the heterogeneity of populations studied, definitions for obesity, and reported outcomes. This review suggests there may be a relationship between obesity and mortality among children with critical illness, cancer, stem cell transplants, or solid organ transplants. Further study of this relationship is needed. Knowledge of the immediate impact of childhood obesity on important clinical outcomes, such as those investigated in this review, will highlight the relevance of nutrition assessment during acute and chronic illness. Well-designed, large, prospective, multicenter studies of the relationships between pediatric morbidity, mortality, and nutritional outcomes, such as obesity, will provide valuable information to plan appropriate medical and nutritional interventions in acute and chronic care settings.
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