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Background: Despite the expenditure of large sums of public monies to ameliorate the consequences of childhood trauma, little is known about the efficacy of treatment for traumatized children and their families.

Objective: To review the efficacy of treatment for child and adolescent traumatic stress.

Data Sources: An extensive literature search identified 102 studies addressing child and adolescent trauma treatment.

Study Selection: Only 8 studies met the minimal inclusion criteria of (1) using a comparison group and (2) including symptoms of traumatic stress as a treatment outcome.

Data Extraction: These studies are critically evaluated for adherence to standards of good efficacy research using formal criteria of treatment research quality.

Data Synthesis: Treatment for traumatic stress appears to lead to greater improvement than either no treatment or routine community care.

Conclusions: Child and adolescent posttraumatic stress disorder treatment research lags behind both adult posttraumatic stress disorder treatment research and other child treatment research. There is considerable need to establish a programmatic approach to developing evidence-based child trauma treatment. Barriers to conducting child trauma treatment research include sensitivity to the rights of victims and child service models that perceive research as intruding on vulnerable children at critically sensitive points in their development.
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TRAUMATIC EXPERIENCES that impact children include physical and sexual abuse, war and terrorism, natural and man-made disasters, witnessing domestic violence, catastrophic illnesses, and motor vehicle crashes. Experiencing traumatic events can have significant behavioral and psychological consequences. These include increased propensity to anger, aggression, suicidal ideation, substance dependence, health care use, learning problems, and engaging in criminal acts. The psychological consequences of childhood victimization can also include developmental delays, increased anxiety and depressive symptoms, and sexually inappropriate and regressive behaviors.

Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms arise in direct response to traumatic events. For the event to qualify as traumatic, it must involve actual or threatened death, serious injury, or a threat to the physical integrity of self or significant others. Intense fear, helplessness, or horror must also characterize the response to the event. In children, the emotional response to the event is often manifested as disorganized or agitated behavior. Posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms cluster in 3 categories: (1) reexperiencing of the traumatic event, (2) avoidance of reminders of the trauma and/or numbing of emotional responsiveness, and (3) symptoms of autonomic hyperarousal. A sense of a foreshortened future may also occur, as well as psychosomatic complaints. The PTSD diagnostic criteria require that symptoms cause clinically significant distress or impairment in functioning and persist for more than 1 month. Symptoms may be delayed for months, or even years.

The purpose of this article is to review extant studies of the efficacy of current treatment.

SEARCH STRATEGIES FOR IDENTIFICATION OF STUDIES

This review is modeled on the review of the efficacy of treatment for adult PTSD by Solomon et al. To identify controlled

METHODS

In the Solomon et al review of adult PTSD treatment, studies were included if they met the following criteria: (1) exposure to a traumatic event and systematic assessment of PTSD and (2) randomized assignment to treatment groups. Our review of the child and adolescent PTSD treatment literature yielded only 5 published studies that met these criteria. Consequently, we expanded our inclusion criteria beyond studies that focused on the treatment of PTSD to include studies of the treatment of trauma symptoms. Thus, the specific criteria for including a study in the present review were (1) use of randomization to an alternative treatment comparison group or a no-treatment (wait-list) group, (2) publication in a peer-reviewed journal, (3) exposure to a traumatic event by a child or adolescent (as distinct from PTSD diagnosis), and (4) use of trauma symptoms as at least 1 outcome measure. Abstracts of all potential studies identified through the search strategy were reviewed. Any study for which the abstract indicated that it possibly met the inclusion criteria was reviewed. If the trial met the inclusion criteria, the study was critically evaluated. Information was entered into a spreadsheet that included demographics, treatment type and length, follow-up, time since trauma, and past or concurrent treatment and findings.

DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDIES

As of this review, 102 English-language published reports were identified that described the treatment of children and adolescents exposed to traumatic events. Almost all of these reports (94 of 102) were case histories, used single-subject designs, or did not provide for a comparison group. Eight randomized controlled studies were identified. In contrast, Solomon et al found 255 treatment reports of which 11 met their stringent criteria for inclusion in their review of adult PTSD treatment. Five of the studies we included incorporated a structured assessment of PTSD by clinically trained assessors as part of their outcome measures. The other 3 studies assessed the effect of treatment on PTSD symptoms, primarily using self-report measures. Five focused on the treatment of sexually abused children, while 2 focused on children with disaster-related PTSD symptoms, and 1 focused on children who had personally witnessed or were personally exposed to inner-city violence. Details of the studies, including subject description, treatment type/length, and findings, are listed in Table 1.

METHODOLOGIC QUALITY

All of the studies were critically reviewed for adherence to rigorous standards of methodology using the gold standards for treatment outcome research proposed by Foa and Meadows. These include (1) clearly defined target symptoms, (2) reliable and valid measures, (3) use of blinded evaluators, (4) assessor training, (5) unbiased assignment to treatment, (6) manualized treatment, and (7) treatment adherence. Table 2 summarizes the level of adherence to these standards for each study.

EFFICACY OF PHARMACOTHERAPY

Treatment of PTSD and Trauma Symptoms

Five of the 8 studies (Deblinger et al, King et al, Trowell et al, and Chemtob et al) focused directly on the treatment of clinically diagnosed PTSD. The remaining 3 studies measured trauma symptoms as targets for treatment. The studies of Deblinger et al and King et al used an individual cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) protocol. Trowell et al compared an individual psychodynamic treatment with a group treatment that included a psychoeducational component. Chemtob et al compared eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR), an individual treatment, with a wait-list control. All 5 research groups reported substantial reductions in PTSD symptoms as a function of treatment.

The 3 studies that targeted trauma symptoms, rather than a clinical diagnosis of PTSD, also reported a reduction in symptoms. Stein et al compared a CBT group
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with a wait-list control group, Chemtob et al11 compared a CBT group with individual CBT, and Celano et al9 compared CBT with supportive therapy.

### Types of Treatments

Variants of CBT were used in 6 of the studies.5,7,9,11,12 Cognitive behavioral therapy combines information about expected reactions (psychoeducation) to stress and trauma, relaxation training, coaching on coping strategies, and direct exposure to traumatic memories. Four of these studies indicated that CBT is more efficacious than the control conditions. In the studies by Celano et al9 and Deblinger et al,6 there were no differences in the efficacy of CBT and supportive treatment in reducing PTSD or trauma symptoms. While 12 studies compared CBT with a wait-list control, the other 5 studies compared CBT with active treatment conditions.5,7,9,11,13 These latter studies are more informative because they include a control for non-specific treatment effects (such as nonspecific effects of active treatment conditions.5,7,9,11,13 These latter studies are more informative because they include a control for non-specific treatment effects (such as nonspecific effects of

### Table 1. Studies of Traumatic Stress With Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and/or Trauma Symptoms Outcome Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source (Topic)</th>
<th>Subjects Description</th>
<th>Treatment Type and Length</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stein et al12 (trauma symptoms)</td>
<td>126 Exposed to violence</td>
<td>10 Group CBT sessions equivalent in time to 1 class period</td>
<td>At the 3-mo assessment, the treated group showed significantly lower scores on self-report measures of symptoms of PTSD, depression, and parent report of psychosocial dysfunction. However, the teacher report did not show a significant difference between the treated and wait-list groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemtob et al10 (PTSD diagnosis)</td>
<td>32 Experienced a natural disaster</td>
<td>EMDR treatment consisted of 1 diagnostic session and 3 weekly treatment sessions</td>
<td>EMDR resulted in large reduction in level of trauma symptoms, and significant reductions in anxiety and depressive symptoms. Treatment effects were maintained at 6-mo follow-up. Children who no longer met criteria for PTSD following treatment showed significantly reduced use of school health nurse visits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trowell et al8 (PTSD diagnosis)</td>
<td>71 Were sexually abused</td>
<td>Individual therapy 1 50-min session each week for 30 wk; group therapy was 18 sessions</td>
<td>Both groups showed substantial reduction in psychopathological symptoms and improvement in functioning with no evident difference between treatments. Individual therapy (which composed nearly twice as many sessions) led to greater improvement in PTSD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemtob et al11 (trauma symptoms)</td>
<td>249 Experienced a natural disaster</td>
<td>A weekly individual session or group CBT sessions with successive waves of 4-8 children serving as wait-list control subjects</td>
<td>Posttreatment trauma symptoms significantly reduced with no difference in the results between group and individual treatment. Group treatment was significantly better at retention into treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deblinger et al5 (PTSD diagnosis)</td>
<td>44 Were sexually abused</td>
<td>11 1.75-h sessions; CBT group met for an additional 15 min each week for a joint parent-child activity session</td>
<td>Children in both groups exhibited significant reductions in PTSD symptoms. Children in the CBT group exhibited better knowledge and retention of body safety skills. Parents in both groups showed significant improvement. Parents in the CBT group reported fewer intrusive thoughts and negative emotional reactions. At 3-mo follow-up, posttreatment gains were comparable, except that the supportive group showed additional improvement in parental emotional reactions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King et al7 (PTSD diagnosis)</td>
<td>36 Were sexually abused</td>
<td>20 Weekly 50-min sessions of individual sessions or individual sessions with the child and parental training</td>
<td>Both treatment groups showed a decrease in PTSD symptoms, fear, coping, anxiety, and depression compared with the wait-list controls. There were no differences between child-only and child-parent intervention conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deblinger et al6 (PTSD diagnosis)</td>
<td>100 Were sexually abused</td>
<td>12 Weekly sessions: child- or parent-only, 45 min; child-parent, 90 min</td>
<td>Child and parent-child condition showed significant decreases in overall PTSD symptoms compared with community care. Parent and parent-child conditions showed significant decreases in children’s externalizing behavior and depression and greater improvement in parenting skills. Pretreatment to posttreatment improvements were maintained at all 4 follow-up periods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celano et al9 (trauma symptoms)</td>
<td>47 Were sexually abused</td>
<td>1-h sessions for 8 wk, half session with the mother and half session with the child</td>
<td>Both treatment conditions showed decreased PTSD symptoms and internalizing and externalizing behavior. No change noted in betrayal and sex-related beliefs. Cognitive behavioral therapy showed decrease in caretaker self-blame and expectations of undue negative impact on the child.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CBT, cognitive behavioral therapy; EMDR, eye movement desensitization and reprocessing.
attention). Three of these studies compared CBT under different conditions. The studies by Deblinger et al and King et al compared combinations of child-parent CBT. Chemtob et al compared group and individual forms of a treatment that combined elements of play therapy and CBT. The studies by Deblinger et al and Celano et al compared individual CBT with individual supportive counseling. They reported that PTSD symptoms were reduced significantly by both CBT and supportive counseling conditions. At this point, it is, therefore, impossible to say whether CBT is more effective than other kinds of treatment.

Two non-CBT studies also showed efficacy. Chemtob et al used EMDR as a treatment for PTSD symptoms. Eye movement desensitization and reprocessing is described as a client-paced exposure treatment that incorporates elements of psychodynamic treatments. The results showed a large reduction in PTSD symptoms and large-effect sizes. While Chemtob et al noted that PTSD symptoms had not remitted despite prior treatment, confidence in the findings would have been greater if another clinical treatment (in addition to a wait-list control group) had been used. What is known is that EMDR treatment led to reduced symptoms but, as with the studies that used CBT, it cannot be excluded that this was due to nonspecific effects of treatment.

The other non-CBT study compared individual brief psychoanalytic therapy with group therapy that included a psychoeducational component. Trowell et al reported that both treatment groups showed substantial improvement in many areas. However, the individual brief psychoanalytic condition led to a greater improvement in PTSD symptoms. However, as the individual therapy condition consisted of 30 weeks while the group treatment received 18 sessions, this result may reflect a difference in dose rather than a difference in treatment type.

### Treatment Length

The number of treatment sessions required for successful treatment is an important component of the evaluation of efficacy. The number of treatment sessions used in the 8 studies in this review ranged from 3 sessions to 30 sessions (Table 1). The 2 studies reporting 3 to 4 sessions involved treating PTSD symptoms resulting from a natural disaster. The 6 studies reporting a greater number of sessions generally involved treating sexual abuse or personal exposure to violence. Determining the optimal number of sessions for effective treatment, and whether different types of traumas require different numbers of sessions, is an important topic that remains to be investigated.

### Group vs Individual Treatment

The results of this review tentatively suggest that both individual and group treatments are efficacious with children and adolescents who have experienced trauma. Five of the studies used individual treatment, and 3 used group treatment. However, only Trowell et al and Chemtob et al directly compared individual and group treatment. Chemtob et al found no differences in efficacy whether the child was treated individually or in a group. However, the children receiving group treatment were significantly more likely to complete treatment. Trowell et al found that both individual and group therapy led to substantial reductions in symptoms and improvement in functioning. In contrast to the findings of Chemtob et al, in the Trowell et al study individual therapy resulted in greater improvement in PTSD symptoms. However, the individual therapy participants in the study by Trowell et al received twice as many therapy sessions as those assigned to group treatment.

### Different Outcome Measures

To compare the efficacy of different treatments, the assessment of symptoms needs to be addressed. The 8 studies reviewed in this article each used different assessment instruments to measure PTSD symptoms, both as inclusion and outcome criteria. Reliable, valid, and developmentally appropriate measures need to be used, such as those used for child and adolescent depression and anxiety treatment research. Further studies also need to include multiple measurements by multiple informants in multiple settings on multiple occasions.
There is an increasing awareness that children and adolescents exposed to a wide variety of traumatic events may develop PTSD. However, the treatment of PTSD in children and adolescents lags far behind our ability to diagnose it. This article reviews the current status of treatment research on traumatized children and identifies the characteristics, strengths, and weaknesses of this research. The extant studies suggest that structured treatment focused on PTSD and trauma symptoms can reduce the debilitating effects of this exposure. The need to develop systematic treatment research to aid traumatized children is highlighted. There is a significant opportunity for pediatricians to assume a direct role in psychosocial treatment of child trauma and PTSD.

Sex and Ethnicity

Six of the 8 studies included both boys and girls as participants. None of the studies reported results by sex. Five of the 8 studies reported that nonwhite participants ranged from 28.0% to 83.9%. None of the studies provided an analysis of treatment results by ethnicity or reported on the ethnicity of the therapists.

Clinical Significance

A common way of reporting clinical significance pertains to the number of cases that no longer meet diagnostic caseness criteria as a result of treatment. Because the magnitude of therapeutic change is critical to evaluating the effectiveness of treatment, the importance of reporting clinical significance in addition to statistical significance cannot be overstated.17-19 Both Deblinger et al5 and King et al10 treated clinically diagnosed PTSD using CBT treatments. Deblinger et al5 reported that 84% of the children who met PTSD criteria at pretreatment in the child treatment conditions no longer met criteria compared with 70% in the parent-only and community control conditions. King et al10 reported that following treatment 8% of the treated group met PTSD diagnostic criteria compared with 80% of the wait-list controls and at a 12-week follow-up only 33% met diagnostic criteria while the wait-list group remained unchanged at 80%. Chemtob et al10 treated children diagnosed as having disaster-related PTSD. Despite receiving only 3 sessions of treatment, 56.3% of children no longer met criteria for PTSD at follow-up. Thus, all 4 studies reported positive results, but were limited by lacking controls for nonspecific effects of therapy. Nevertheless, these clinical results are cause for cautious optimism.

IMPLICATIONS FOR RESEARCH

Controlled treatment research with traumatized children and adolescents is lagging behind other treatment research on child psychopathology. A major reason for this is the sensitive interpersonal context in which research involving victimized children occurs. Traumatized people often have difficulty trusting researchers, and frequently experience research as an unwelcome intrusion on a painful experience. It is not infrequent for potential participants to be involved in legal procedures because of civil or criminal actions related to their trauma. Such involvement complicates treatment owing to the multiple, and sometimes conflicting, agendas that develop. Researchers are sometimes perceived as further victimizing participants for the purpose of their research. Similarly, it is difficult to justify ethically withholding of treatment from victims. Fortunately, research that is directed to helping victims by providing treatment is more acceptable when alternative treatments are offered. Given that there is no dominant validated psychological PTSD treatment, this permits the use of designs that randomize patients to alternative treatments that have good face validity.

Recent treatment research with adult PTSD21 suggests that prevention of PTSD can be successful when patients are selected on the basis of meeting criteria for acute stress disorder, a significant risk factor for PTSD. Similar research with children is needed to help clarify the value of early structured intervention for all children following exposure to high-risk events such as sexual or physical abuse. Finally, in view of the recent increase in the national vulnerability to terrorism of the United States, significant attention and funding should be invested in developing and evaluating preparedness interventions for children and families to increase the resilience of children and child-serving systems faced with large-scale emergencies.
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