

Presentations and Outcomes of Children With Intraventricular Hemorrhages After Blunt Head Trauma

Richard Lichenstein, MD; Todd F. Glass, MD, MS; Kimberly S. Quayle, MD; Sandra L. Wootton-Gorges, MD; David H. Wisner, MD; Michelle Miskin, MS; J. Paul Muizelaar, MD; Mohamed Badawy, MD; Shireen Atabaki, MD, MPH; James F. Holmes, MD, MPH; Nathan Kuppermann, MD, MPH; for the Traumatic Brain Injury Study Group of the Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network (PECARN)

Objective: To describe the clinical presentations and outcomes of children with intraventricular hemorrhages (IVHs) after blunt head trauma (BHT).

Design: Subanalysis of a large, prospective, observational cohort study performed from June 1, 2004, through September 31, 2006.

Setting: Twenty-five emergency departments participating in the Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network.

Patients: Children presenting with IVH after BHT.

Exposure: Blunt head trauma.

Main Outcome Measures: Clinical presentations and outcomes, including the Pediatric Overall Performance Category (POPC) and Pediatric Cerebral Performance Category (PCPC) scores at hospital discharge.

Results: Of 15 907 patients evaluated with computed tomography, 1156 (7.3%) had intracranial injuries. Forty-three of the 1156 (3.7%; 95% CI, 2.7%-5.0%) had non-

isolated IVHs (ie, with intracranial injuries on computed tomography), and 10 of 1156 (0.9%; 95% CI, 0.4%-1.6%) had isolated IVHs. Only 4 of 43 (9.3%) of those with nonisolated IVHs had Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) scores of 14 to 15, and all 10 (100.0%) with isolated IVHs had GCS scores of 15. No patients with isolated IVHs required neurosurgery or died. One patient had moderate overall disability (by the POPC score), and no patient had moderate or severe disability at discharge (by the PCPC score). Of the 43 patients with nonisolated IVHs, however, 16 (37.2%) died and 18 (41.9%) required neurosurgery. In 27 patients (62.8%), injuries ranged from moderate overall disability to brain death by the POPC score.

Conclusions: Children with nonisolated IVHs after BHT typically present with GCS scores of less than 14, frequently require neurosurgery, and have high mortality rates. In contrast, those with isolated IVHs typically present with normal mental status and are at low risk for acute adverse events and poor outcomes.

Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2012;166(8):725-731.

Published online April 2, 2012.

doi:10.1001/archpediatrics.2011.1919

BLUNT HEAD TRAUMA (BHT) IS one of the most common reasons for children to be evaluated in emergency departments (EDs).¹ Depending on a variety of factors, including the specific mechanisms of BHT, a wide range of intracranial injuries (ICIs) and outcomes after BHT are observed.^{1,2} Computed tomography (CT) is the diagnostic tool most commonly used to evaluate children promptly after BHT.³⁻⁵ Although prompt CT provides specific information regarding the anatomical location of the ICI if present, it provides limited information on the functional consequences of identified lesions.^{4,6-8}

Intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH) is a type of injury uncommonly seen in adults or children undergoing cranial CT after head trauma.⁹ The reported prevalence of IVH in patients with BHT undergoing cranial CT is 1.4%.¹⁰ As a consequence of its infrequency, there is limited information regarding the clinical presentations and outcomes of children with traumatic IVHs. Most reported cases of traumatic IVHs are secondary to severe mechanisms of injury and have poor outcomes. Atzema et al,¹⁰ in a large multicenter study of adult and pediatric BHT, found that 70% of patients with IVHs had Glasgow Outcome Scale scores of 1, 2, or 3 (poor outcome). Similarly, Kobayashi et al¹¹ described 4 pe-

Author Affiliations are listed at the end of this article.

diatric patients with IVHs after severe mechanisms of injury, 2 of whom died of their injuries.

Most reported cases of IVHs are also associated with other ICIs.^{10,12,13} The cause of reported poor outcomes in patients with IVHs and other associated head injuries is unclear but may be a consequence of intraventricular blood migrating from nearby mechanically disrupted tissues. Intraventricular hemorrhages in association with other ICIs, such as subdural hematomas, shearing injuries, brain contusions, and intracerebral hemorrhages, may be a marker of greater injury to the brain and poor prognosis.¹² It is unclear, however, whether IVHs that are *isolated* (ie, without other ICIs visible on CT) have the same clinical significance. The goal of this study was to describe the prevalence, clinical presentations, and outcomes of children with traumatic IVHs in a large study of pediatric head trauma. We hypothesized that IVHs are seen uncommonly in children after minor BHT (defined by Glasgow Coma Scale [GCS] scores of 14-15), and children with isolated IVHs are at low risk for adverse outcomes.

METHODS

STUDY SETTING AND POPULATION

We performed a subanalysis of a large prospective cohort study of children younger than 18 years with BHT who presented to any of the 25 hospital EDs participating in the Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network (PECARN) from June 1, 2004, through September 31, 2006. The study was approved by the institutional review boards at all participating sites and at the institutional review board of the university for the Data Coordinating Center. Study methods have been previously described in detail.¹⁴ In brief, we enrolled patients with BHT who presented to one of the participating EDs within 24 hours of the traumatic event. Patients with trivial mechanisms of injury (eg, ground-level falls or running into stationary objects) without signs of traumatic brain injury (TBI) were excluded. We also excluded patients with penetrating trauma, ventricular shunts, bleeding disorders, or previous neuroimaging. Specific methods pertinent to this subanalysis are provided in the following paragraphs.

STUDY INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION CRITERIA

We analyzed the subset of children who underwent cranial CT as part of their ED evaluation, and the site radiologist confirmed any abnormal collection of blood within the ventricular system. If there was more than 1 CT scan performed, we used the scan that most definitively described the IVH(s). Equivocal CT scans were adjudicated by a senior faculty study pediatric radiologist (S.L.W.-G.) at the primary study site. One patient was excluded because the Pediatric Overall Performance Category (POPC) and the Pediatric Cerebral Performance Category (PCPC) functional outcome scores were not available.

We compared patients with isolated IVHs with those with nonisolated IVHs with regard to clinical presentations and outcomes. We defined an *isolated* IVH as a CT-identified IVH alone without any other intracranial injury (with or without linear skull fractures). We defined a *nonisolated* IVH as an IVH in association with at least 1 other ICI on CT. We defined an *ICI* as any traumatic intracranial finding on CT except for isolated linear skull fractures. These ICIs could be any of the following: an intracranial hemorrhage or contusion, cerebral edema, traumatic infarction, diffuse axonal injury, shearing injury, sig-

moid sinus thrombosis, midline shift of intracranial contents or signs of brain herniation, diastasis of the skull, pneumocephalus, and depressed skull fracture at least the width of the table of the skull.

We collected clinical data, including patient age, sex, mechanism of injury, history of loss of consciousness, history of vomiting, and initial presenting GCS score. Severe mechanism of injury was defined by motor vehicle crash with patient ejection, death of another passenger, or rollover; pedestrian or bicyclist without helmet struck by motorized vehicle; falls greater than 3 ft for children younger than 2 years and greater than 5 ft for children 2 years and older; or head struck by a high-impact object. The CT scans that showed IVHs were reviewed to describe location and number of ventricles containing hemorrhages.

OUTCOME MEASURES

The primary outcome of interest was an acute adverse event defined as death from TBI, neurosurgical intervention, or intubation for greater than 24 hours for management of the head injury. Patients who were hospitalized were followed up to determine outcomes; those discharged to home from the ED received telephone or mail follow-up to determine subsequent CT imaging, hospitalization, or neurosurgical intervention for a TBI. For those not available by telephone or mail follow-up, we reviewed the patients' medical records, ED quality improvement records, trauma registry records, and the county morgue records to identify any patients who were lost to telephone or mail follow-up and who may have had a clinically important TBI. The secondary outcome of interest was patient functional status at hospital discharge, which was determined by information collected during medical record review, using the POPC and the PCPC scores.¹⁵ These scoring systems use a 6-point ordinal scale rating functional outcomes from 1 (normal; good overall performance) to 6 (brain death). We defined poor functional outcome as a score of 3 to 6 (ie, moderate or severe disability, coma or vegetative state, or brain death).^{5,16}

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

We described the study population using simple descriptive statistics, with 95% CIs where appropriate. We examined the association of each clinical presentation and outcome variable with the isolated and nonisolated IVH groups using the Fisher exact test. We calculated the rate differences of acute adverse and functional outcome events and their associated 95% CIs between the isolated and nonisolated IVH groups. We performed the data analysis using SAS statistical software, version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Inc).

RESULTS

STUDY POPULATION

Of 43 904 patients enrolled in the primary study, 43 398 met inclusion criteria for the current analysis. A total of 15 907 patients (36.7%) had CTs performed, and 1156 (7.3%) had ICIs apparent on CT, including 53 (4.6%; 95% CI, 3.5%-6.0%) with IVHs, of whom 10 (0.9%; 95% CI, 0.4%-1.6%) had isolated IVHs. Therefore, of the 15 907 patients who underwent CT, the prevalence of any IVH was 53 of 15 907 (0.3%; 95% CI, 0.2%-0.4%) and the prevalence of isolated IVH was 10 of 15 907 (0.06%; 95% CI, 0.03%-0.12%). All subsequent analyses are limited to the 53 children with IVHs on CT.

Table 1. Comparison of Clinical Factors Between Children With Nonisolated and Isolated IVHs on Computed Tomography^a

Factor	No. (%) of Patients		P Value
	Nonisolated IVH (n = 43)	Isolated IVH (n = 10)	
Median age (IQR), y	8.5 (2.0-14.6)	7.7 (0.4-12.6)	
Male sex	23 (53.5)	9 (90.0)	.07
Injury mechanism			
Occupant in motor vehicle collision	12 (27.9)	4 (40.0)	.51
Pedestrian struck by moving vehicle	11 (25.6)	0	
Bike rider struck by automobile	2 (4.7)	1 (10.0)	
Bike collision or fall from bike while riding	1 (2.3)	0	
Other wheeled transport crash	2 (4.7)	0	
Fall from elevation	5 (11.6)	2 (20.0)	
Fall down stairs	2 (4.7)	1 (10.0)	
Sports	3 (7.0)	1 (10.0)	
Object struck head (unintentional)	3 (7.0)	0	
Other mechanism	2 (4.7)	1 (10.0)	
Severe mechanism of injury ^b	20 (46.5)	2 (20.0)	.17
Clinical presentation			
Vomiting	11/26 (42.3)	2/9 (22.2)	.43
History of loss of consciousness	35/38 (92.1)	6 (60.0)	.03
GCS score			
3-8	31 (72.1)	0	<.001
9-13	8 (18.6)	0	
14-15	4 (9.3)	10 (100) ^c	

Abbreviations: GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; IQR, interquartile range; IVH, intraventricular hemorrhage.

^aData are presented as number (percentage) of patients unless otherwise indicated.

^bSevere mechanism of injury was defined by motor vehicle crash with patient ejection, death of another passenger, or rollover; pedestrian or bicyclist without helmet struck by motorized vehicle; falls greater than 3 ft for children younger than 2 years and greater than 5 ft for children 2 years and older; or head struck by a high-impact object.

^cAll with GCS score of 15.

CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS AT PRESENTATION

We compared the clinical characteristics at ED presentation between the patients with isolated and nonisolated IVHs (**Table 1**). Children with isolated IVHs were more likely to have GCS scores of 14 to 15, whereas children with nonisolated IVHs were more likely to present with a history of loss of consciousness. No difference was found between the 2 groups in terms of sex, history of vomiting, and severity of the mechanism of injury.

RADIOGRAPHIC FINDINGS

Descriptions of the radiographic findings of the CT scans in the isolated and nonisolated IVH groups are provided in **Table 2**. Of the 43 patients with nonisolated IVHs, 23 (53.5%) had more than 1 ventricle with hemorrhage identified on CT compared with 3 of the 10 patients (30.0%) with isolated IVHs. Among the patients with isolated IVHs, the IVH was located in at least 1 of the lateral ventricles in 7 of the 10 patients (70.0%) compared with 23 of the 43 patients (53.5%) with nonisolated IVHs.

ACUTE ADVERSE AND FUNCTIONAL OUTCOMES

Patients with isolated IVHs had better outcomes than those with nonisolated IVHs. **Table 3** details the acute adverse and functional outcomes in both patient groups. Patients with nonisolated IVHs were more likely to have intuba-

tion for more than 24 hours (rate difference, 55.8%; 95% CI, 21.7%-82.0%), neurosurgical intervention (rate difference, 41.9%; 95% CI, 7.1%-73.7%), or die due to their head injury (rate difference, 37.2%; 95% CI, 2.3%-67.2%).

Patients with isolated IVHs were also less likely to have poor functional outcomes than patients with nonisolated IVHs as assessed by both POPC and PCPC functional outcome scores. Only 1 of the 10 patients (10.0%) with isolated IVHs had a poor functional outcome based on the POPC score compared with 27 of 43 patients (62.8%) with nonisolated IVHs (rate difference, -52.8%; 95% CI, -81.3% to -19.1%). One patient with an isolated IVH with a poor functional outcome based on the POPC score had a functional outcome of moderate overall disability. None of the patients with isolated IVHs had poor functional outcomes based on the PCPC score compared with 25 of 43 patients (58.1%) with nonisolated IVHs (rate difference, -58.1%; 95% CI, -84.2% to -24.3%).

COMMENT

In this large, prospective series of children with BHT, we found the presence of IVH on CT to be an uncommon occurrence, with outcomes greatly predicated on whether the IVH was associated with other ICIs on CT. Among the patients with IVHs, 18.9% had isolated IVHs, and they typically presented with normal GCS scores and had good clinical and functional outcomes. This finding is in contrast to children with nonisolated IVHs, who presented to the ED predominantly with GCS scores of less than

Table 2. Comparison of Radiographic Findings Between Patients With Nonisolated and Isolated IVHs on Computed Tomography

Finding	No. (%) of Patients	
	Nonisolated IVH (n = 43)	Isolated IVH (n = 10)
IVH	23 (53.5)	3 (30.0)
IVH location		
Lateral ventricles only	23 (53.5)	7 (70.0)
Third or fourth ventricles or cerebral aqueduct only	6 (14.0)	1 (10.0)
Both ventricular areas	14 (32.6)	2 (20.0)
Intracranial injuries ^a		
Cerebellar hemorrhage	3 (7.0)	0
Cerebral contusion	9 (20.9)	0
Cerebral edema	19 (44.2)	0
Cerebral hemorrhage or intracerebral hematoma	16 (37.2)	0
Diastasis of the skull	4 (9.3)	0
Epidural hematoma	4 (9.3)	0
Extra-axial hematoma	7 (16.3)	0
Midline shift	16 (37.2)	0
Pneumocephalus	11 (25.6)	0
Subarachnoid hemorrhage	25 (58.1)	0
Subdural hematoma	11 (25.6)	0
Diffuse axonal injury	4 (9.3)	0
Herniation	1 (2.3)	0
Shear injury	4 (9.3)	0

Abbreviation: IVH, intraventricular hemorrhage.
^aMore than 1 intracranial injury can be present.

14 and frequently experienced poor acute clinical and functional outcomes.

Few prospective, observational studies of patients (either children or adults) with IVHs exist. Most previous studies are case reports or case series of both adults and children with IVHs. In a prospective, observational cohort study of adults and children with BHT, Atzema et al¹⁰ described a 1.4% prevalence of IVH among those undergoing cranial CT. In that study, 7 (10%) of the patients were children. LeRoux et al¹⁷ also described 43 patients with traumatic IVHs, but that was an older case series in which the prevalence was reported as 2.8% of those who underwent CT, and only 8 (19%) of the patients were children. In the present study, of the children undergoing cranial CT after BHT, the prevalence of IVH was the lowest reported of any study and was 21.4% of the prevalence that was described by Atzema et al.¹⁰ However, this finding is not unexpected because our study included only children, in whom traumatic IVHs are rare, compared with adults and elderly patients. No lesions (eg, vascular malformations) were identified as an underlying cause of IVH in our cohort of children experiencing head trauma as may be expected in elderly patients.

Patients with IVHs often have serious sequelae. In adults, traumatic IVH is associated with a mortality rate of 22% to 62.5%.^{13,18,19} Children with posttraumatic IVHs may experience equally serious outcomes, including death.^{11,12,20} However, to our knowledge, no previous prospective, pediatric, observational cohort studies of traumatic IVH exist; therefore, the true prevalence and out-

Table 3. Comparison of Clinical and Functional Outcomes Between Patients With Nonisolated and Isolated IVHs on Computed Tomography

Outcome	No. (%) of Patients		P Value
	Nonisolated IVH (n = 43)	Isolated IVH (n = 10)	
Acute adverse and functional outcomes			
Death	16 (37.2)	0	.02
Neurosurgery	18 (41.9)	0	.01
Intubated for >24 h for ICI	24 (55.8)	0	.001
POPC score at ED or hospital discharge			
Good overall performance	10 (23.3)	8 (80.0)	.005
Mild overall disability	6 (14.0)	1 (10.0)	
Moderate overall disability	3 (7.0)	1 (10.0)	
Severe overall disability	7 (16.3)	0	
Brain death	17 (39.5)	0	
PCPC score at ED or hospital discharge			
Normal	11 (25.6)	9 (90.0)	.005
Mild disability	7 (16.3)	1 (10.0)	
Moderate disability	3 (7.0)	0	
Severe disability	5 (11.6)	0	
Brain death	17 (39.5)	0	

Abbreviations: ED, emergency department; ICI, intracranial injury; IVH, intraventricular hemorrhage; PCPC, Pediatric Cerebral Performance Category; POPC, Pediatric Overall Performance Category.

comes have been unknown. In one case series, 2 of 4 children with posttraumatic IVHs died, and 1 child was left in a persistent vegetative state after severe lateral impact.¹¹ Our experience was similar because more than 50% of children with nonisolated IVHs in the present study had severe disability or death.^{10,17} In our series, more than 72.1% of children with nonisolated IVHs presented to the ED with GCS scores of 8 or lower. Clinical, pathologic, and radiologic studies of traumatic IVH implicate brainstem and other cerebral parenchymal contusion or hemorrhage as the source of ventricular system blood, and this finding would support the severe clinical presentations and adverse outcomes in children with IVHs and evidence of other ICIs on CT.^{7,17,21}

The few reports of isolated IVH after head trauma in children demonstrate that children with isolated IVHs have different clinical findings and prognoses than those with IVHs in association with ICI on CT.^{10,13} Similar to our findings, presenting GCS score and prognosis have been described to be related to the severity of the head trauma and presence of other ICIs on CT.^{10,17,19} Atzema et al¹⁰ reported that children and adults with isolated IVHs who appeared clinically well at ED presentation had good functional outcomes. In addition, IVH has been described as a low-risk CT finding in children and adults when no signs of clinical deterioration are present.^{18,22} Isolated IVH may simply be secondary to vascular disruption localized to the ventricular system itself. Therefore, the degree of ICI may be limited, which is consistent with better clinical presentations and outcomes.

Our findings differ from previous investigations in several regards. To our knowledge, this is the only purely

pediatric cohort study of IVH after BHT. Most existing reports^{10,13,17,18} describe primarily adult patients with IVH and are mostly case series rather than cohort studies. The large study by Atzema et al¹⁰ only contained 7 patients with IVH who were younger than 10 years of age.²³ Therefore, it is difficult to extrapolate the prevalence and outcomes of pediatric traumatic IVH from preexisting research studies. In other studies involving adults, poor outcome was associated with abnormal GCS scores at presentation, as well as with CT findings of deep-seated intraparenchymal hemorrhage and/or IVHs, which is similar to our findings with children.^{12,24} In addition to specific CT findings, the mechanisms of injury and clinical symptoms of the patients in the present study were more varied compared with previous studies^{11,12} that included predominantly patients with severe clinical presentations. Although 2 patients with isolated IVHs presented with substantially decreased levels of consciousness in another study, all the patients with isolated IVH in our study had GCS scores of 15.¹² This finding may also reflect the difference between case reports or case series rather than a large observational cohort such as that reported in our study. Furthermore, there were no deaths among the patients with isolated IVHs in our study, although of note, the 10 patients with isolated IVHs in our study had mechanisms of injury (motor vehicle related and falls) similar to those with nonisolated IVHs.

We also provide new information regarding functional outcomes of children with posttraumatic IVHs. Using the POPC and PCPC scores, we documented good functional outcome at hospital discharge of children with isolated IVHs and frequent poor functional outcome of those with IVHs in association with other ICIs on CT.

To our knowledge, this is the largest prospective cohort study to date describing children with IVHs after BHT. Our large sample size made it possible to separate children with isolated IVHs from those with nonisolated IVH and to demonstrate differences in functional outcomes between these 2 groups. Children with isolated IVHs appear to represent a distinct population compared with what is generally described in patients with traumatic IVHs. Children with isolated IVHs had normal GCS scores at presentation and had either normal functional outcomes or mild disability at hospital discharge. These data highlight that children with isolated IVHs have less severe outcomes than previously ascribed to the overall group of children with traumatic IVHs.

This study has several limitations. Most data were collected prospectively; however, to evaluate functional outcomes, we retrospectively reviewed medical records of children with IVH at the time of hospital discharge to obtain POPC and PCPC scores. It is possible that subtle functional abnormalities were missed and that functional status could worsen after hospital discharge. In addition, despite a large sample size in the parent study, there were only 53 children with IVHs, reflecting the infrequency of this finding and limiting the power to make statistical inferences when comparing those with isolated IVHs with those with nonisolated IVHs. However, these data represent the largest number of pediatric patients with posttraumatic IVHs studied in a prospective fashion to date. Finally, CT scans were interpreted by individual site fac-

ulty radiologists, and findings were not validated independently. Equivocal CT scans, however, were adjudicated by a senior faculty pediatric radiologist at the primary study site.

In conclusion, IVHs are uncommon in children after BHT. However, children with IVHs in association with other ICIs after BHT typically present with GCS scores of less than 14, frequently require neurosurgery, and often die or have functional disabilities at hospital discharge. In contrast, children with isolated IVHs typically present with normal GCS scores and have good clinical and functional outcomes. Therefore, children with isolated IVHs after BHT may be candidates for early hospital discharge or even extended ED observation for clinical signs of deterioration rather than definite hospital admission.

Accepted for Publication: December 20, 2011.

Published Online: April 2, 2012. doi:10.1001/archpediatrics.2011.1919

Author Affiliations: Department of Pediatrics, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore (Dr Lichenstein); Department of Pediatric Emergency Medicine, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, Ohio (Dr Glass); Department of Pediatrics, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, Missouri (Dr Quayle); Departments of Radiology (Dr Wootton-Gorges), Surgery (Dr Wisner), Neurological Surgery (Dr Muizelaar), Emergency Medicine (Drs Holmes and Kuppermann), and Pediatrics (Dr Kuppermann), University of California–Davis School of Medicine, Davis; Department of Pediatrics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City (Ms Miskin); Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Rochester School of Medicine, Rochester, New York (Dr Badawy); and Department of Pediatrics, George Washington School of Medicine, Washington, DC (Dr Atabaki). A complete list of the individuals participating in PECARN at the time this study was initiated appears at the end of this article.

Correspondence: Richard Lichenstein, MD, Department of Pediatrics, University of Maryland School of Medicine, 22 S Greene St, Baltimore, MD 21201 (rlichenstein@peds.umaryland.edu).

Author Contributions: *Study concept and design:* Lichenstein, Holmes, and Kuppermann. *Acquisition of data:* Lichenstein, Glass, Quayle, Wootton-Gorges, Badawy, Atabaki, Holmes, and Kuppermann. *Analysis and interpretation of data:* Lichenstein, Miskin, and Kuppermann. *Drafting of the manuscript:* Lichenstein and Kuppermann. *Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content:* Glass, Quayle, Wootton-Gorges, Wisner, Miskin, Muizelaar, Badawy, Atabaki, and Holmes. *Statistical analysis:* Lichenstein, Miskin, and Kuppermann. *Obtained funding:* Kuppermann. *Administrative, technical, and material support:* Lichenstein, Quayle, Wisner, Muizelaar, Badawy, Holmes, and Kuppermann. *Study supervision:* Lichenstein, Holmes, and Kuppermann.

Financial Disclosure: None reported.

Funding/Support: This work was supported by grant R40MC02461 from the Health Resources and Services Administration/Maternal and Child Health Bureau, Division of Research, Education, and Training, and the Emergency Medical Services for Children Program. The

PECARN is supported by cooperative agreements U03MC00001, U03MC00003, U03MC00006, U03MC00007, and U03MC00008 from the Emergency Medical Services of Children program of the Health Resources and Services Administration/Maternal and Child Health Bureau.

Role of the Sponsors: The sponsors are not responsible for the design and conduct of the study; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data; and in the preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript.

Previous Presentations: Presented in part at the Pediatric Academic Societies Annual Meeting; May 4, 2009; Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; and the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine Meeting; May 15, 2009; Washington, DC.

Additional Contributions: We acknowledge the efforts of the following individuals participating in PECARN at the time this study was initiated. *PECARN Steering Committee:* Nathan Kuppermann, MD, MPH (chair); Elizabeth Alpern, MD, MSCE, James Chamberlain, MD, J. Michael Dean, MD, MBA, Michael Gerardi, MD, Julius Goepf, MD, Marc Gorelick, MD, MSCE, John D. Hoyle Jr, MD, David Jaffe, MD, Christina Johns, MD, Nadine Levick, MD, MPH, Prashant Mahajan, MD, MPH, MBA, Ronald Maio, DO, MS, Kraig Melville, MD, Steven Miller, MD,† David Monroe, MD, Richard Ruddy, MD, Rachel Stanley, MD, MHSA, David Treloar, MD, MS, Michael Tunik, MD, Allen Walker, MD. *Maternal and Child Health Bureau/Emergency Medical Services for Children Program liaisons:* Dan Kavanaugh, MSW, LCSW-C, Hae Young Park, MPH. *Central Data Management and Coordinating Center:* J. Michael Dean, MD, MBA, Richard Holubkov, PhD, Stacy Knight, MStat, Amy Donaldson, MS. *Data Analysis and Management Subcommittee:* James Chamberlain, MD (chair); Morton Brown, PhD, Howard Corneli, MD, Julius Goepf, MD, Richard Holubkov, PhD, Prashant Mahajan, MD, MPH, MBA, Kraig Melville, MD, Ernest Stremiski, MD, MBA, Michael Tunik, MD. *Grants and Publications Subcommittee:* Marc Gorelick, MD, MSCE (chair); Elizabeth Alpern, MD, MSCE, J. Michael Dean, MD, MBA, George Foltin, MD, Jill Joseph, MD, PhD, MPH, Steven Miller, MD,† Frank Moler, MD, MS, Rachel Stanley, MD, MHSA, Stephen Teach, MD, MPH. *Protocol Concept Review and Development Subcommittee:* David Jaffe, MD (chair); Kathleen Brown, MD, Arthur Cooper, MD, MS, J. Michael Dean, MD, MBA, Christina Johns, MD, Ronald Maio, DO, MS, N. Clay Mann, PhD, MS, David Monroe, MD, Kathy Shaw, MD, MSCE, Daniel Teitelbaum, MD, David Treloar, MD, MS. *Quality Assurance Subcommittee:* Rachel Stanley, MD, MHSA (chair); Diana Alexander, MD, Jeri Burr, RNC, CCRC, CPCCRN, Michael Gerardi, MD, Mary Ann Gregor, DrPH, Richard Holubkov, PhD, Kathleen Lillis, MD, Brian Nordberg, BS, Richard Ruddy, MD, Mike Shults, MA, Allen Walker, MD. *Safety and Regulatory Affairs Subcommittee:* Nadine Levick, MD, MPH (chair); John Brennan, MD, Jeri Burr, RNC, CCRC, CPCCRN, J. Michael Dean, MD, MBA, John D. Hoyle Jr, MD, Ronald Maio, DO, MS, Richard Ruddy, MD, Walton Schalick, MD, PhD, Tasmeen Singh, MPH, NREMT-P, Joseph Wright, MD, MPH. We thank Rene Enriquez,

BS, at the PECARN Data Center (University of Utah) and Sally Jo Zuspan, RN, MSN, for their dedicated and diligent work; the research coordinators in PECARN, without whose dedication and hard work this study would not have been possible; and all the clinicians around the PECARN who enrolled children in this study. Participating centers and site investigators are listed in alphabetical order: Atlantic Health System/Morristown Memorial Hospital (Michael Gerardi, MD); Bellevue Hospital Center (Michael Tunik, MD, and James Tsung, MD); Calvert Memorial Hospital (Kraig Melville, MD); Children's Hospital Boston (Lois Lee, MD, MPH); Children's Hospital of Michigan (Prashant Mahajan, MD, MPH, MBA); Children's Hospital of New York-Presbyterian (Peter Dayan, MD, MSc); Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (Frances Nadel, MD); Children's Memorial Hospital (Elizabeth Powell, MD, MPH); Children's National Medical Center (Shireen Atabaki, MD, MPH, and Kathleen Brown, MD); Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center (Todd F. Glass, MD, MS); DeVos Children's Hospital (John D. Hoyle Jr, MD); Harlem Hospital Center (Arthur Cooper, MD, MS); Holy Cross Hospital (Elizabeth Jacobs, MD); Howard County Medical Center (David Monroe, MD); Hurley Medical Center (Dominic Borgianni, DO, MPH); Medical College of Wisconsin/Children's Hospital of Wisconsin (Marc Gorelick, MD, MSCE, and Subhankar Bandyopadhyay, MD); St Barnabas Health Care System (Michael Bachman, MD, and Neil Schamban, MD); SUNY Upstate Medical Center (James Callahan, MD); University of California-Davis Medical Center (Nathan Kuppermann, MD, MPH, and James F. Holmes, MD, MPH); University of Maryland (Richard Lichenstein); University of Michigan (Rachel Stanley, MD, MHSA); University of Rochester (Mohamed Badawy, MD, and Lynn Babcock-Cimpiello, MD, MPH); University of Utah/Primary Children's Medical Center (Jeffrey Schunk, MD); Washington University, St Louis Children's Hospital (Kimberly S. Quayle, MD, and David Jaffe, MD); and Women and Children's Hospital of Buffalo (Kathleen Lillis, MD).

†Deceased.

REFERENCES

1. Faul M, Xu L, Wald M. *Coronado vs Traumatic Brain Injury in the United States: Emergency Department Visits, Hospitalizations, and Deaths*. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; 2010.
2. Marr AL, Coronado VG. *Central Nervous System Injury Surveillance Data Submission Standards—2002*. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; 2004.
3. Zhu GW, Wang F, Liu WG. Classification and prediction of outcome in traumatic brain injury based on computed tomographic imaging. *J Int Med Res*. 2009; 37(4):983-995.
4. Maas AIR, Hukkelhoven CWPM, Marshall LF, Steyerberg EW. Prediction of outcome in traumatic brain injury with computed tomographic characteristics: a comparison between the computed tomographic classification and combinations of computed tomographic predictors. *Neurosurgery*. 2005;57(6):1173-1182.
5. Ono J, Yamaura A, Kubota M, Okimura Y, Isobe K. Outcome prediction in severe head injury: analyses of clinical prognostic factors. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2001;8(2):120-123.
6. Abdel-Dayem HM, Abu-Judeh H, Kumar M, et al. SPECT brain perfusion abnormalities in mild or moderate traumatic brain injury. *Clin Nucl Med*. 1998;23(5):309-317.

7. Doezema D, King JN, Tandberg D, Espinosa MC, Orrison WW. Magnetic resonance imaging in minor head injury. *Ann Emerg Med.* 1991;20(12):1281-1285.
8. Abu-Judeh HH, Parker R, Singh M, et al. SPET brain perfusion imaging in mild traumatic brain injury without loss of consciousness and normal computed tomography. *Nucl Med Commun.* 1999;20(6):505-510.
9. French BN, Dublin AB. The value of computerized tomography in the management of 1000 consecutive head injuries. *Surg Neurol.* 1977;7(4):171-183.
10. Atzema C, Mower WR, Hoffman JR, Holmes JF, Killian AJ, Wolfson AB; National Emergency X-Radiography Utilization Study (NEXUS) II Group. Prevalence and prognosis of traumatic intraventricular hemorrhage in patients with blunt head trauma. *J Trauma.* 2006;60(5):1010-1017.
11. Kobayashi S, Nakazawa S, Otsuka T. Acute traumatic intraventricular hemorrhage in children. *Childs Nerv Syst.* 1985;1(1):18-23.
12. Cordobés F, de la Fuente M, Lobato RD, et al. Intraventricular hemorrhage in severe head injury. *J Neurosurg.* 1983;58(2):217-222.
13. Lee JP, Lui TN, Chang CN. Acute post-traumatic intraventricular hemorrhage analysis of 25 patients with emphasis on final outcome. *Acta Neurol Scand.* 1991; 84(2):85-90.
14. Kuppermann N, Holmes JF, Dayan PS, et al; Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network (PECARN). Identification of children at very low risk of clinically-important brain injuries after head trauma: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet.* 2009;374(9696):1160-1170.
15. Fiser DH, Long N, Roberson PK, Hefley G, Zolten K, Brodie-Fowler M. Relationship of Pediatric Overall Performance Category and Pediatric Cerebral Performance Category scores at pediatric intensive care unit discharge with outcome measures collected at hospital discharge and 1- and 6-month follow-up assessments. *Crit Care Med.* 2000;28(7):2616-2620.
16. Fiser DH, Tilford JM, Roberson PK. Relationship of illness severity and length of stay to functional outcomes in the pediatric intensive care unit: a multi-institutional study. *Crit Care Med.* 2000;28(4):1173-1179.
17. LeRoux PD, Haglund MM, Newell DW, Grady MS, Winn HR. Intraventricular hemorrhage in blunt head trauma: an analysis of 43 cases. *Neurosurgery.* 1992; 31(4):678-685.
18. Abraszko RA, Zurynski YA, Dorsch NW. The significance of traumatic intraventricular haemorrhage in severe head injury. *Br J Neurosurg.* 1995;9(6):769-773.
19. Jayakumar PN, Kolluri VR, Basavakumar DG, Arya BY, Das BS. Prognosis in traumatic intraventricular haemorrhage. *Acta Neurochir (Wien).* 1990;106(1-2): 48-51.
20. Kang JK, Park CK, Kim MC, Kim DS, Song JU. Traumatic isolated intracerebral hemorrhage in children. *Childs Nerv Syst.* 1989;5(5):303-306.
21. Hanley DF, Naff NJ, Harris DM. *Intraventricular Hemorrhage: Presentation and Management Options.* Vol 5. St Louis, MO: Elsevier; 2005:209-216.
22. Durham SR, Liu KC, Selden NR. Utility of serial computed tomography imaging in pediatric patients with head trauma. *J Neurosurg.* 2006;105(5)(suppl):365-369.
23. Holmes JF, Hendey GW, Oman JA, et al; for the NEXUS group. Epidemiology of blunt head injury victims undergoing ED cranial computed tomographic scanning. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2006;24(2):167-173.
24. Azian AA, Nurulazman AA, Shuaib L, et al. Computed tomography of the brain in predicting outcome of traumatic intracranial haemorrhage in Malaysian patients. *Acta Neurochir (Wien).* 2001;143(7):711-720.

Announcement

The *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine* will devote its May 2013 issue to pediatric hospital medicine. We are interested in a broad range of research related to hospital care, including clinical and comparative effectiveness research on the inpatient management of pediatric diseases. We invite all hospital-based pediatricians, including hospitalists, emergency medicine physicians, neonatologists, and intensivists to submit manuscripts, preferably by September 15, 2012.